

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BARRY G. INGRAM,  
Plaintiff,  
v.

No. C 13-0224 CW  
ORDER CONCERNING  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION  
TO DISMISS

CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO POLICE  
DEPARTMENT; SFPD OFFICER SGT.  
FRAZER; SFPD OFFICER JENNIFER G.  
FIORELLO; and SFPD OFFICER  
KHMARSKIY,  
Defendants.

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

On February 4, 2013, Defendant City and County of San Francisco re-filed its motion to dismiss Plaintiff Barry Ingram's first amended complaint. Docket No. 12. The memorandum in support of that motion refers to two exhibits. See id. at 2, 8 n.4. Neither of these exhibits, however, is attached to Defendant's motion and Defendant's proof of service does not indicate whether these exhibits have been served on Plaintiff. Id. at 10. Accordingly, Defendant is directed to file the attached exhibits as soon as possible with proof that it served these exhibits on Plaintiff. Defendant's previous motion to dismiss (Docket No. 4) is hereby TERMINATED.

Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's motion is due by February 22, 2013. If Plaintiff fails to file a timely opposition, his case may be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

Plaintiff has yet to serve his first amended complaint on the three individual officers named as defendants in this action: Sgt. Frazer, Officer Fiorello, and Officer Khmarskiy. Under Federal

1 Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), Plaintiff must serve his complaint  
2 on these defendants before April 2, 2013 or his claims against  
3 them will be dismissed.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5  
6 Dated: 2/5/2013

7   
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28