

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
7

8 FLETCHER CARSON,

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.  
11

12 WALSH GRIFFIN, et al.,

13 Defendants.  
14

Case No.: 13-cv-0520 KAW

ORDER GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO ALLOW ALTERNATE  
SERVICE

15  
16 Plaintiff Fletcher Carson, who proceeds pro se and has paid the filing fee, filed the complaint  
17 in this case on February 6, 2013. The Court denied Plaintiff's Motion to Allow Alternate Service on  
18 Defendants without prejudice on April 16, 2013. Plaintiff filed a second Motion to Allow Alternate  
19 Service of Defendants on April 22, 2013. Plaintiff asserts that he has sent all of the Defendants  
20 registered emails containing all of the service documents. The emails were sent through a service  
21 called RPost Holdings, which, according to Plaintiff, returns an acknowledgement that the recipient's  
22 email inbox received the email. For the following reasons, the motion is granted in part.

23 **A. Service on Foreign Individuals and Business**

24 Plaintiff wishes to serve foreign individuals, including Ivan Ahmed Azziz, a "broker located in  
25 Dubai"; Daniel Okwudili Nwankwo, Azziz's attorney; Cisse Abdoulaye, an agent in Dubai; and Ben  
26 Aka, another agent in Dubai, and Walsh Griffin, a person living in Ireland.<sup>1</sup> See Comp'l at 3, 6-7.

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> The Court's previous order stated that Griffin lived in France and Ireland. Plaintiff now states that  
"there is no reference in Plaintiff's motion to the country of France. Plaintiff will assume that was an

1 Plaintiff also wishes to serve a foreign business, Walsh Capital Group, which is located in Ireland. *Id.*  
2 at 3.

3 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(f) ("Serving an Individual in a Foreign Country") authorizes  
4 service of process on an individual in a foreign country by any "means not prohibited by international  
5 agreement, as the court orders."<sup>2</sup> A foreign business can be served in the same manner prescribed by  
6 Rule 4(f) for serving an individual, except personal delivery under (f)(2)(c)(1). Fed. R. Civ. P.  
7 4(h)(2). A method of service of process must comport with constitutional notions of due process, and  
8 must be "reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the  
9 pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." *Rio Properties,*  
10 *Inc. v. Rio Int'l Interlink*, 284 F.3d 1007, 1016 (9th Cir. 2002).

11 Ireland is a member of the Hague Convention, but Dubai is not. *See*  
12 [http://www.hcch.net/index\\_en.php?act=conventions.status&cid=17](http://www.hcch.net/index_en.php?act=conventions.status&cid=17). Use of the Hague Convention  
13 procedures is mandatory if available at the place of service, but does not apply if, as in this case, the  
14 address of the person or business to be served with the document is not known. *RPost Holdings, Inc.*  
15 *v. Kagan*, 2:11-CV-238-JRG, 2012 WL 194388 \*1-2 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2012). The Court has  
16 conducted a search, but has located no authority holding that international agreement prohibits service

17 error." Mot. Allow Alt. Service, Dkt # 12 at 3. But Plaintiff's complaint states that Griffin has a  
18 home in Paris, France. Comp'l, Dkt # 1 at 7.

19 <sup>2</sup> The full text of Rule 4(f) allows service on a foreign individual by the following means:  
20 "(1) by any internationally agreed means of service that is reasonably calculated to give notice,  
21 such as those authorized by the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and  
22 Extrajudicial Documents;  
23 (2) if there is no internationally agreed means, or if an international agreement allows but does  
24 not specify other means, by a method that is reasonably calculated to give notice:  
25 (A) as prescribed by the foreign country's law for service in that country in an action in  
26 its courts of general jurisdiction;  
27 (B) as the foreign authority directs in response to a letter rogatory or letter of request;  
28 or  
(C) unless prohibited by the foreign country's law, by:  
(i) delivering a copy of the summons and of the complaint to the individual  
personally; or  
(ii) using any form of mail that the clerk addresses and sends to the individual  
and that requires a signed receipt; or  
(3) by other means not prohibited by international agreement, as the court orders."

1 by email in either Dubai or Ireland. Thus, the Court must determine whether Plaintiff's attempt to  
2 serve these foreign individuals and business is reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to  
3 apprise them of the action and give them an opportunity to respond.

4 Plaintiff wishes to serve Ivan Ahmed Azziz, a broker located in Dubai, by registered  
5 email to several email addresses through which he corresponded with Azziz. Previously, the  
6 Court found that Plaintiff's showing with respect to service on Azziz by registered email was  
7 insufficient for the following reasons: Plaintiff did not explicitly state that he communicated  
8 with Azziz through the email addresses, and, the column of the receipt authentication  
9 document labeled "opened" was blank. Plaintiff has now remedied the first defect. He now  
10 states that he communicated with Azziz hundreds of times through the email addresses. As to  
11 the second, Plaintiff has explained that, with respect to the registered email service he is using,  
12 there is a delay after the email is sent and before the receipt authentication is sent back to the  
13 sender. If the email is opened within that delay period, the "opened" column will indicate that  
14 the email has been opened. Otherwise, the "opened" column will be blank. The system does  
15 not continue to query the recipient to determine whether the email is later opened. The Court  
16 is satisfied with this explanation. Accordingly, Azziz is deemed served.

17 Plaintiff also seeks to serve Daniel Okwudili Nwankwo, Azziz's attorney; Cisse  
18 Abdoulaye, an agent in Dubai; and Ben Aka, another agent in Dubai, via the registered emails  
19 to Azziz's email addresses. Plaintiff has not stated that he has communicated with these  
20 individuals via email to Azziz's email addresses. Instead, Plaintiff argues that these  
21 individuals are tied to Azziz. This is insufficient for the purposes of service, as Plaintiff's  
22 emails to Azziz's email addresses will not apprise Azziz's attorney and agents of this lawsuit.  
23 The Court does not deem these individuals served.

24 Next, Plaintiff seeks to serve Walsh Griffin, whom he claims is an individual living in  
25 Ireland, through the email addresses [info@walshcapital.org](mailto:info@walshcapital.org) and [investment@walshcapital.org](mailto:investment@walshcapital.org),  
26 and Griffin's business, Walsh Capital Group, through the same email addresses. Plaintiff has  
27 now explained that he corresponded many times with Walsh Griffin through these emails.  
28 Plaintiff also seeks to serve the business, Walsh Capital Group, through the same email

1 addresses. As the Court wrote in its previous order, it is reasonable to assume that a business  
2 checks, or ought to check, an email address that is listed on its website. Accordingly, the  
3 Court deems Walsh Griffin and Walsh Capital Group served.

4 **II. Service on Domestic Individuals**

5 Plaintiff seeks to serve the following individuals living in the United States: Kelvin  
6 Don, Priscilla Ellis, John Kagose, and Kenietta Johnson. *See* Comp'l at 2-3. Plaintiff also  
7 seeks to serve the following businesses based in the United States: Salvatore Financial Agency,  
8 KVP International Consultants, KVP International Trades,<sup>3</sup> and Vicken International Traders  
9 LLC. *Id.*

10 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(e)(1) provides that “an individual . . . may be served  
11 in a judicial district of the United States by: following state law for serving a summons in an  
12 action brought in courts of general jurisdiction in the state where the district court is located or  
13 where service is made.” As this Court is located in California, service of process is governed  
14 by California law. Section 415.30 of the California Code of Civil Procedure provides that  
15 service may be made by first-class mail to the person to be served. The sender must mail a  
16 copy of the summons and complaint to the person to be served, together with two copies of the  
17 notice and acknowledgement set out in section 415.30(b), and a return, postage-paid  
18 envelope.<sup>4</sup> Service is complete when the acknowledgment is returned to the sender.

19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 <sup>3</sup> According to the complaint, KVP International Consultants and KVP International Trades are the  
21 same company. Comp'l at 5.

22 <sup>4</sup> The statute requires the following notice:

23 (Title of court and cause, with action number, to be inserted by the sender prior to  
24 mailing)

25 NOTICE

26 To: (Here state the name of the person to be served.)

27 This summons is served pursuant to Section 415.30 of the California Code of Civil  
28 Procedure. Failure to complete this form and return it to the sender within 20 days may  
subject you (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) to liability for the  
payment of any expenses incurred in serving a summons upon you in any other manner  
permitted by law. If you are served on behalf of a corporation, unincorporated  
association (including a partnership), or other entity, this form must be signed in the  
name of such entity by you or by a person authorized to receive service of process on

1 Here, Plaintiff states that mail containing a number of documents was delivered to  
2 Priscilla Ellis as CEO of KVP International Consultants, KVP International Consultants, and  
3 Kenietta Johnson and John Kagose as employees of KVP International Consultants.<sup>5</sup> See Mot.  
4 at 6. Specifically, Plaintiff states that he sent "2 copies of Waiver of the Service of Summons  
5 with a self-addressed, stamped envelope for one copy to be sent back to Plaintiff." *Id.* But it  
6 is unclear whether this document Plaintiff refers to is the notice required under Section  
7 415.30(b). It is unlikely, because Defendants would not be waiving service; rather, they  
8 would be accepting service by mail. In any case, Plaintiff does not state that any  
9 acknowledgments of receipt were returned to him. Accordingly, these individuals and  
10 business have not been served under Section 415.30. Plaintiff may cure this defect by re-  
11 serving these individuals and business in compliance with Section 415.30, and may file  
12 another motion for alternate service with the Court if the acknowledgments of receipt are not  
13 returned to him within 20 days of service.

14  
15  
16 behalf of such entity. In all other cases, this form must be signed by you personally or  
17 by a person authorized by you to acknowledge receipt of summons. Section 415.30  
18 provides that this summons is deemed served on the date of execution of an  
19 acknowledgment of receipt of summons.

20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 Signature of sender

22 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF SUMMONS**

23 This acknowledges receipt on (insert date) of a copy of the summons and of the  
24 complaint at (insert address).

25 Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date this acknowledgment is executed)

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 Signature of person acknowledging receipt, with title if acknowledgment is made on  
28 behalf of another person.

29 Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 415.30.

30 <sup>5</sup> The Court notes that the names Priscilla Ellis, Kenietta Johnson and John Kagose are listed on the  
website under the section titled, "Meet Our Team." Mot., Ex. C.

1 Section 413.30 of the California Code of Civil Procedure states that “[w]here no  
2 provision is made in this chapter or other law for the service of summons, the court in which  
3 the action is pending may direct that summons be served in a manner which is reasonably  
4 calculated to give actual notice to the party to be served and that proof of such service be made  
5 as prescribed by the court.” But because Plaintiff may still be able to serve the above  
6 individuals under Section 415.30, the requirement that "no provision is made . . . for the  
7 service of summons" is not yet met, and section 413.30 is not applicable.

8 Plaintiff states that he was unable to serve Kelvin Don, Vicken International Traders  
9 and Salvore Financial Agency through the mail because the addresses Plaintiff had for them  
10 were fraudulent and wrong. Because Plaintiff will not be able to serve these Defendants  
11 through the mail, the Court will allow service by registered email pursuant to section 413.30.

12 Plaintiff explains that he corresponded with Kelvin Don through the email address  
13 [salvtoreloanagency@yahoo.com](mailto:salvtoreloanagency@yahoo.com). As noted above, Plaintiff claims that he sent a registered  
14 email with service documents to Kelvin Don at this email address. The Court finds that  
15 service by registered email to this email address was accomplished, and Kelvin Don is deemed  
16 served. However, Plaintiff's motion does not explain why the business, Salvore Financial  
17 Agency, could be served through this email address. Thus, the business is not deemed served.

18 As the Court noted in its previous order, Plaintiff does not explain why the entity  
19 Vicken International Traders can be served at the email address [mstpajd@aol.com](mailto:mstpajd@aol.com). Nor does  
20 Plaintiff explain why KVP Consultants can be served through this address. Plaintiff merely  
21 states that Priscilla Ellis uses this email address. Therefore, Vicken International Traders and  
22 KVP Consultants are not deemed served.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26

27


28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IV. Conclusion**

For the reasons explained above, it is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Alternate Service is granted in part. The following individuals and businesses are deemed served: Ivan Ahmed Azziz, Walsh Griffin, Kelvin Don, and Walsh Capital Group. The remaining Defendants have not been served. This order is issued without prejudice for Plaintiff to cure the defects in service, and submit another motion for alternate service, if necessary.

DATE: May 31, 2013

  
KANDIS A. WESTMORE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE