27

28

Hendricks v. Starkist Co

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 7 8 PATRICK HENDRICKS, individually and on Case No.: 13-CV-00729 YGR behalf of all others similarly situated, 9 ORDER DENYING MOTION OF PLAINTIFF TO Plaintiff(s), APPOINT INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL 10 VS. 11 STARKIST CO., 12 **Defendant(s).** 13 14 Plaintiff has filed a Motion to Appoint Interim Class Counsel Pursuant to Federal Rule of 15 Civil Procedure 23(g)(3). While interim appointment under Rule 23(g)(3) may be useful where there are competing applicants to serve as class counsel within a particular lawsuit, Plaintiff has 16 failed to show it would serve any legitimate purpose under the circumstances here. Therefore, the 17 Motion is **DENIED**. This is without prejudice to renew in the event appointment of interim counsel 18 19 becomes necessary, for example, if multiple cases are consolidated in a single venue. 20 This Order Terminates Docket Number 14. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. Date: April 12, 2013 22 ONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 24 25 26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court finds this motion appropriate for decision without oral argument. Accordingly, the Court VACATES the hearing set for April 30, 2013.