

1 Mark D. Selwyn, Bar No. 244180
 Mark.Selwyn@wilmerhale.com
 2 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
 HALE AND DORR LLP
 3 950 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, CA 94304
 4 Telephone: (650) 858-6000
 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100
 5
 6 Nina S. Tallon (*pro hac vice*)
 Nina.Tallon@wilmerhale.com
 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
 7 HALE AND DORR LLP
 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
 8 Washington, DC 20006
 Telephone: (202) 663-6000
 9 Facsimile: (202) 663-6363

10 *Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.*

Eric L. Wesenberg, Bar No. 139696
 EWesenberg@perkinscoie.com
 Christopher L. Kelley, Bar No. 166608
 CKelley@perkinscoie.com
 Victoria Q. Smith, Bar No. 236045
 VSmith@perkinscoie.com
 Wing H. Liang, Bar No. 285521
 WLiang@perkinscoie.com
 Andrew N. Klein, Bar No. 300221
 AKlein@perkinscoie.com
 PERKINS COIE LLP
 3150 Porter Drive
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
 Telephone: (650) 838-4300
 Facsimile: (650) 838-4350

Kenneth J. Halpern, Bar No. 187663
 ken.halpern@strismaher.com
 STRIS & MAHER LLP
 725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1830
 Los Angeles, CA 90017
 Telephone: (213) 995-6800
 Facsimile: (213) 261-0299

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Slot Speaker Technologies, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION**

19 SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
 20 Plaintiff,
 21 v.
 22 APPLE INC.,
 23 Defendant.

Case No. 4:13-cv-01161-HSG (DMR)

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER REQUESTING MODIFICATION
 TO BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
 MOTIONS IN LIMINE**

1 Pursuant to L.R. 6-2, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between Plaintiff Slot
2 Speaker Technologies, Inc. (“SST”) and Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) (collectively, the
3 “Parties”), by and through their counsel of record, as follows:

4 WHEREAS, the Parties request modification of the briefing schedule for Motions in
5 Limine as shown below:

Submission	Current Deadline	Requested Deadline
Motions in Limine	2/12	2/13
Oppositions to Motions in Limine	2/19	2/23

10 WHEREAS, the requested modification would not require rescheduling the pretrial
11 conference set for March 6, 2018 and would not affect the parties’ other deadlines for pretrial
12 submissions under the Court’s Civil Pretrial and Trial Standing Order;

13 WHEREAS, the Parties have previously requested no other modifications to the
14 Scheduling Order, except for their May 10, 2017 request for extension of time to conduct certain
15 depositions, which the Court granted (Dkt. 319); their June 21, 2017 request for extension of time
16 to serve expert reports, which the Court granted (Dkt. 345); their August 31, 2017 request for a
17 one-week extension to complete expert discovery, which the Court granted (Dkt. 351); their
18 October 5, 2017 request regarding the schedule for briefing of Apple’s Motions to Exclude
19 certain of SST’s experts’ opinions, which the Court granted (Dkt. 382); and their January 22,
20 2018 request regarding the schedule for briefing of SST’s Motions to Strike, which the Court
21 granted (Dkt. 450); and

22 WHEREAS, the Parties respectfully submit that there is good cause to modify the existing
23 briefing schedule for Motions in Limine in view of ongoing other work associated with
24 preparations for the trial beginning March 19, 2018 and in view of the requested extension not
25 requiring rescheduling the pretrial conference set for March 6, 2018 and not affecting the Parties’
26 other deadlines for pretrial submissions under the Court’s Civil Pretrial and Trial Standing Order.

1 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties that,
2 subject to the Court's approval, the Parties' Motions in Limine and oppositions thereto must be
3 filed as shown below.

4 Submission	Deadline
5 Motions in Limine	2/13
6 Oppositions to Motions in Limine	2/23

7
8 The Parties also respectfully request that the Court issue an order granting the relief
9 requested.

10 DATED: February 6, 2018

By: /s/ Nina S. Tallon
Nina S. Tallon
Nina.Tallon@wilmerhale.com
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
Attorney for Defendant Apple Inc.

15
16 DATED: February 6, 2018

By: /s/ Wing H. Liang
Wing H. Liang
WLiang@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
*Attorney for Plaintiff
Slot Speaker Technologies, Inc.*

22 * * *

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, the Parties' Motions in Limine, and oppositions thereto, must be filed as shown below.

Submission	Deadline
Motions in Limine	2/13
Oppositions to Motions in Limine	2/23

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
United States District Judge

1 Mark D. Selwyn, Bar No. 244180
2 Mark.Selwyn@wilmerhale.com
3 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
4 HALE AND DORR LLP
5 950 Page Mill Road
6 Palo Alto, CA 94304
7 Telephone: (650) 858-6000
8 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100

9 Nina S. Tallon (*pro hac vice*)
10 Nina.Tallon@wilmerhale.com
11 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
12 HALE AND DORR LLP
13 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
14 Washington, DC 20006
15 Telephone: (202) 663-6000
16 Facsimile: (202) 663-6363

17 *Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.*

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:13-cv-01161-HSG (DMR)

**DECLARATION OF
MARK D. SELWYN IN SUPPORT OF
STIPULATION REQUESTING
MODIFICATION TO BRIEFING
SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS IN LIMINE**

1 I, Mark D. Selwyn, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the State of California, the Commonwealth
3 of Massachusetts, and the State of New York, and am a member of the Bar of this Court. I am a
4 partner at the law firm Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, counsel for Apple Inc.
5 (“Apple”) in the above-captioned matter. I make this declaration based upon my personal
6 knowledge and/or investigation of the facts set forth herein. If called to testify, I could and would
7 testify competently to the facts stated herein.

8 2. Pursuant to L.R. 6-2, I submit this Declaration in Support of the Parties’
9 Stipulation Requesting Modification to Briefing Schedule for Motions in Limine.

10 3. On November 30, 2016, the Court entered a Scheduling Order setting February 5,
11 2018 as the date for the Parties’ exchange of evidence; February 12, 2018 as the deadline for the
12 filing of motions in limine; February 19, 2018 as the deadline for the filing of oppositions to
13 motions in limine and various of the Parties’ pretrial submissions pursuant to the Court’s Civil
14 Pretrial and Trial Standing Order; March 6, 2018 as the pretrial conference date; and March 19,
15 2018 as the trial date. (Dkt. 219, “Scheduling Order.”)


16 4. On May 12, 2017, the Court entered an Order modifying the Scheduling Order and
17 extending time to conduct certain depositions. (Dkt. 319.) On June 22, 2017, the Court entered
18 an Order modifying the Scheduling Order and setting July 14 and August 14, 2017 as the
19 deadlines to serve opening and rebuttal expert reports, respectively. (Dkt. 345.) On August 31,
20 2017, the Court entered an Order modifying the Scheduling Order and setting September 15,
21 2017 as the deadline for completion of expert discovery. (Dkt. 351.) On October 6, 2017, the
22 Court entered an Order modifying the briefing schedule for Apple’s Motions to Exclude certain
23 of SST’s experts’ opinions. (Dkt. 382.) On January 23, 2018, the Court entered an Order
24 modifying the briefing schedule for SST’s Motions to Strike certain of Apple’s experts’ opinions.
25 (Dkt. 450.)
26
27
28

1 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION**, the Parties' Motions in Limine, and oppositions
2 thereto, must be filed as shown below.

Submission	Deadline
Motions in Limine	2/13
Oppositions to Motions in Limine	2/23

3
4
5
6
7
8
9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11 Dated: 2/7/2018


12 Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28