2 3			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	SAP AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. 13-cv-01248-PJH	
8	Plaintiff,		
9	V.	JUDGMENT	
10	LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM,		
11	Defendant.		
12			
13	The issues having been duly heard and the court having granted plaintiff's motion		
14	for summary judgment,		
15	it is Ordered and Adjudged		
16	that judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff on all of defendant's		
17	counterclaims of infringement of the patents-in-suit, U.S. Patent Nos. 8,037,158 ("the		
18	'158 Patent), 5,987,500 ("the '500 Patent") and 8,108,492 ("the '492 Patent"), and against		
19	defendant on the same; and		
20	that judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff on its claims for declaratory		
21	judgment of non-infringement of the '158 Patent, the '500 Patent, and the '492 Patent,		
22	and against defendant on the same.		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	\mathcal{O}	
24	Dated: April 2, 2019	0 hr	
25	-		
26		PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON Jnited States District Judge	
27			
28			

United States District Court Northern District of California