

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 CHRIMAR SYSTEMS INC, et al.,  
4 Plaintiffs,  
5 v.  
6 CISCO SYSTEMS INC, et al.,  
7 Defendants.  
8

Case No. [13-cv-01300-JSW](#) (MEJ)

**DISCOVERY ORDER**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 207, 208, 217, 218

9 On September 5, 2014, the Court held a telephonic discovery hearing regarding four  
10 pending disputes. As discussed at the hearing, the Court ORDERS as follows:

- 11 1) Hexagon and Arbor shall produce all agreed-upon documents by October 3, 2014.  
12 At the same time, they shall define the limitations they seek for each individual  
13 category of requests made by HP that they dispute.
- 14 2) After production, the parties shall meet and confer in person in an attempt to  
15 resolve any of the remaining disputed categories. If still unresolved, they shall  
16 appear on October 31, 2014 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom B, for a further meet and  
17 confer session. If still unable to resolve all remaining disputes, the parties shall  
18 draft a joint letter at the session in compliance with paragraph 2 of the  
19 undersigned's Discovery Standing Order. The parties shall bring any necessary  
20 equipment to draft the letter and present it to the Deputy Clerk for electronic filing.
- 21 3) Hewlett-Packard shall serve written depositions for Steven Dawson and Udi  
22 Naamani regarding the matters that they claim were not covered in the depositions  
23 taken in the previous ITC litigation. As discussed at the hearing, counsel for HP  
24 should be mindful not to include any duplicative topics covered in the previous  
25 litigation.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Dated: September 5, 2014

28   
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARIA-ELENA JAMES  
United States Magistrate Judge