

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 CHRIMAR SYSTEMS INC, et al.,
5 Plaintiffs,
6 v.
7 CISCO SYSTEMS INC, et al.,
8 Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-01300-JSW](#) (MEJ)

**ORDER FOR PLAINTIFFS TO LODGE
WITHHELD DOCUMENTS FOR IN
CAMERA REVIEW; ORDER FOR
PLAINTIFFS TO FILE
DECLARATION(S)**

Re: Dkt. No. 287

9
10 Having reviewed the parties' joint discovery dispute letter concerning 258 emails John
11 Austermann sent to himself, which Plaintiffs have withheld from production based on claims of
12 attorney-client privilege and/or work-product protection, the Court ORDERS Plaintiff to submit
13 (not file) unredacted copies of the documents to the undersigned's chambers for in camera review
14 by January 11, 2016. Further, for each document, Plaintiffs shall e-file (no chambers copy is
15 required) a declaration from each attorney referenced in their privilege log attesting that (1) Mr.
16 Austermann sought legal advice from him in his capacity as a professional legal adviser; (2) the
17 document contains confidential communications from the attorney to Mr. Austermann relating to
18 that purpose; and (3) either (a) the entire document contains privileged material and should not be
19 produced even in redacted form, or (b) only certain portions of the document contain privileged
20 material. If only certain portions of the documents should be redacted, the declaration(s) shall
21 specify those sections by line number or other means. The declaration(s) must be specific as to
22 each document; a general declaration will not suffice. The declaration(s) shall be filed by January
23 11, 2016.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 Dated: January 4, 2016

27
28 

MARIA-ELENA JAMES
United States Magistrate Judge