1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3		
4		lo. <u>13-cv-01300-JSW</u> (MEJ)
5	Plaintiffs, ORDE	R RE: FORBIS DECLARATION
6	v. Re: Dk	t. No. 287, 289
7		
8	Defendants.	
9		
10	On January 4, 2016, the Court ordered ChriMar to submit unredacted copies of 258 emails	
11	John Austermann sent to himself, which Plaintiffs have withheld from production based on claims	
12	of attorney-client privilege. Dkt. No. 289. As part of its order, the Court also ordered to file a	
13	declaration from each attorney referenced in their privilege log by January 11, 2016. ChriMar has	
14	now responded, but requests additional time to provide a response from Glenn Forbis due to the	
15	large volume of material and his pre-existing obligations to other matters. ChriMar states Mr.	
16	Forbis is willing and able to perform a thorough document-by-document review with the benefit	
17	of additional time, and therefore request leave to submit a Declaration from Mr. Forbis on January	
18	22, 2016. Dkt. No. 306. Good cause appearing, ChriMar's request is GRANTED.	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19	11 15 50 ORDERED.	

Dated: January 11, 2016

MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court Northern District of California

28