

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS INC, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
CISCO SYSTEMS INC, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-01300-JSW](#)

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO
SEAL**

Re: Docket Nos. 368, 372

United States District Court
Northern District of California

Now before the Court are Defendants’ administrative motions to seal portions of their amended answers and counterclaims. Plaintiffs have submitted a declaration that supports their request to maintain certain portions of the amended answers and counterclaims under seal. Third-party Clyde Boenke has not filed a declaration within the time required, and the Court has previously found the material he designated as confidential is not sealable.

The Cisco Defendants’ administrative motion to seal is GRANTED IN PART: Paragraph 46 of the Amended Answer, at page 16, line 5 though page 17, line 5 shall remain under seal. HP’s administrative motion to seal is GRANTED IN PART: Paragraph 46 of the Amended Answer at page 14, line 24 through page 15, line 23 shall remain under seal. Defendants shall file revised redacted versions of all documents addressed in this Order by May 6, 2016.


//
//
//
//
//
//

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

It is FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall serve a copy of this Order on Mr. Boenke and shall file proof of such service with the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 2, 2016



JEFFREY S. WHITE
United States District Judge