UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2434

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -4)

On April 8, 2013, the Panel transferred 7 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. See F.Supp.2d (J.P.M.L. 2013). Since that time, 34 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of New York. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of New York and assigned to Judge Seibel.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of New York for the reasons stated in the order of April 8, 2013, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cathy Seibel.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

May 24, 2013

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

> A CERTIFIED COPY RUBY J. KRAJICK, CLERK

> > Deputy Clerk

IN RE: MIRENA IUD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2434

SCHEDULE CTO-4 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION		
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL					
CAC	2	13-02486	Laurie Nordman v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals		
CAC	5	13-00598	Rosy E Gomez et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc		
CALIFOR	RNIA NOI	RTHERN			
CAN	4	13-01410	Dudley v. Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al		
ILLINOIS	S CENTRA	AL			
ILC	2	13-02060	Winston v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc		
ILLINOIS	S NORTH	ERN			
ILN ILN	1 3	13-01766 13-50102	Mehrer v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Lopez et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		
KANSAS					
KS	6	13-01144	Caruthers v. Bayer Corporation et al		
KENTUCKY EASTERN					
KYE	6	13-00089	Harmon et al v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		
KENTUC	KENTUCKY WESTERN				
KYW	3	13-00406	Scott v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals Inc.		
MARYLA	MARYLAND				
MD MD	1 1	13-00807 13-01317	Jennings v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Thompson v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		

Case MDL No. 2434 Document 177 Filed 05/24/13 Page 3 of 3

M	r x t	NIT	C.	\cap	ГΑ
IVI	IV	INI	. `	l I	I A

MN	0	13-00980	Fowler v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
MN	0	13-00981	Meyer v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN

MSS	3	13-00281	Daniels v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al
MSS	5	13-00028	Austin v. Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation et al Opposed 5/23/13

MISSOURI EASTERN

MOE	4	13-00580	Reed v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
MOL	7	13 00300	Recu v. Dayer Hearthcare I harmaceunears, inc.

NEW JERSEY

NJ	2	13-02591	PAYARES v. BAYER CORPORATION et al
NJ	2	13-02592	HORNER v. BAYER CORPORATION et al
NJ	2	13-02967	CRAIG v. BAYER CORPORATION et al

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE	2	13-01530	SOLANO v. BAY	ER CORP. et al.

TEXAS WESTERN

TXW 5 13-00224 Villarreal vs. Bayer Corp., et al