IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 No. C 13-01442 YGR (PR) SERGIO DE LA CRUZ, 5 **ORDER GRANTING IN FORMA** Petitioner, PAUPERIS STATUS AND DIRECTING 6 SPONDENT TO SHOW CAUSE WHY vs. HE PETITION SHOULD NOT BE 7 P. D. BRAZELTON, Warden, GRANTED 8 Respondent. 9 10 Petitioner, a state prisoner, has filed this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 11 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner also seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. It does not appear from the 12

face of the petition that it is without merit. Good cause appearing, the Court hereby issues the following orders:

14

13

15

16

17

1. Petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED.

2. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this Order and the petition and all attachments thereto upon Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this Order on Petitioner at his current address.

18 3. Respondent shall file with this Court and serve upon Petitioner, within sixty (60) 19 **days** of the issuance of this Order, an Answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules 20 Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the Answer a copy of all portions of the relevant state records that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

4. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the Answer, he shall do so by filing a Traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within sixty (60) days of his receipt of the Answer. Should Petitioner fail to do so, the petition will be deemed submitted and ready for decision sixty (60) days after the date Petitioner is served with Respondent's Answer.

Respondent may file with this Court and serve upon Petitioner, within sixty (60) days 5. of the issuance of this Order, a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an Answer, as set

26

27

forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If
 Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an
 opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion within sixty (60) days of receipt of the
 motion, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within fourteen
 (14) days of receipt of any opposition.

6. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the Court 6 7 and Respondent informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a 8 timely fashion. Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-11 a party proceeding pro se whose 9 address changes while an action is pending must promptly file a notice of change of address 10 specifying the new address. See L.R. 3-11(a). The Court may dismiss without prejudice a complaint 11 when: (1) mail directed to the pro se party by the Court has been returned to the Court as not 12 deliverable, and (2) the Court fails to receive within sixty days of this return a written 13 communication from the pro se party indicating a current address. See L.R. 3-11(b); see also 14 Martinez v. Johnson, 104 F.3d 769, 772 (5th Cir. 1997) (Rule 41(b) applicable in habeas cases).

Petitioner must also serve on Respondent's counsel all communications with the Court bymailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel.

7. Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be granted.
Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than **fourteen (14) days** prior to the
deadline sought to be extended.

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

8. This Order terminates Docket No. 4.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: October 22, 2013

nene Gual Mice

VONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE