

PHILIP M. MILLER (SBN 87877)
pmiller@sjlawcorp.com
 KIMBERLY A. HANCOCK (SBN 205567)
khancock@sjlawcorp.com
 JULIE A. RICHARDSON (SBN 215202)
jrichardson@sjlawcorp.com
 SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 (415) 882-7900
 (415) 882-9287 – Facsimile

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRIES PENSION
 TRUST FUND, JAMES H. BENO, Trustee,
 BILL BRUNELLI, Trustee, STEPHEN J.
 MACK, Trustee, CHRIS CHRISTOPHERSEN,
 Trustee, DON CROSATTO, Trustee, MARK
 HOLLIBUSH, Trustee, JON ROSELLE,
 Trustee, DOUGLAS CORNFORD, Trustee,
 and JAMES V. CANTERBURY, Trustee,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JAE JUNG KIM dba TRI-CITY BODY &
 PAINT; TRI-CITY BODY & PAINT, INC., a
 California Corporation; and DOES 1-20,
 Defendants.

Case No.: C 13-01597 KAW

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER TO CONTINUE INITIAL
 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
 AND EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND
 TO COMPLAINT**

Date: July 9, 2013
 Time: 1:30 p.m.
 Courtroom 4, 3rd Floor
 Magistrate Judge: Kandis A. Westmore

The parties have stipulated to a 90-day continuance of the Initial Case Management Conference currently scheduled for July 9, 2013 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 4, 3rd Floor of this Court, and all related case management and ADR deadlines, and seek the Court's approval for same. The parties have further stipulated to a 60-day extension of Defendants' deadline to respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint, which is currently set for June 19, 2013, and seek the Court's approval for same.

1. Good Cause exists for the request: This action arises under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), as amended by the Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980 (29 U.S.C §§1001-1461 (1982)), to recover withdrawal liability

1 amounts allegedly owed by defendants Jae Jung Kim and Tri-City Body & Paint, Inc.,
2 (“Defendants”) to plaintiffs Automotive Industries Pension Trust Fund and its Trustees
3 (“Plaintiffs”).

4 2. On April 9, 2013, plaintiffs filed a Complaint in this matter.

5 3. Defendants have been served and have appeared in the action as follows:

6 Jae Jung Kim dba Tri-City Body & Paint was served on April 29, 2013. (Dkt. 7)

7 Tri-City Body & Pain, Inc., a California Corporation, was served on April 29,
8 2013. (Dkt. 8)

9 4. On May 16, 2013, the parties stipulated to a 30-day extension to June 19, 2013 for
10 Defendants to respond to the Complaint.

11 5. The reason for the request is that the parties wish to have time to attempt a
12 cooperative resolution before incurring the fees involved in preparing for case management and
13 responding to the Complaint. In the interest of minimizing costs as well as attorney’s fees and the
14 Court’s time and resources, the parties would like to take the opportunity to attempt a settlement.

15 6. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the Case Management Conference
16 currently scheduled for July 9, 2013, and all of its associated deadlines, be continued for 90 days.

17 7. No previous requests to change these dates have been submitted. The changes will
18 not affect any other deadline on the Court’s calendar.

19 8. The parties also respectfully request that the Court further extend Defendants’ time
20 to respond to the Complaint by 60 days, to August 18, 2013. Because August 18, 2013 is a
21 Sunday, Defendants’ deadline to respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint shall be August 19, 2013.

22 9. On May 16, 2013, the parties stipulated to a 30-day extension of Defendants’ time
23 to respond to the Complaint. This further extension will not affect any other deadline on the
24 Court’s calendar.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: June 10, 2013

/s/ SEAN T. STRAUSS
SEAN T. STRAUSS
TRUCKER ♦ HUSS
Attorneys for Defendants
JAE JUNG KIM
TRI-CITY BODY & PAINT, INC.

Dated: June 10, 2013

/s/ JULIE RICHARDSON
JULIE RICHARDSON
SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRIES PENSION TRUST
FUND

1 **ORDER**

2 1. Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby
3 continues the Initial Case Management Conference in this action from its currently scheduled date
4 of July 9, 2013 to October 8, 2013. All other related case management and ADR deadlines are
5 continued accordingly.

6 2. Furthermore, the Court hereby extends Defendants deadline to respond to
7 Plaintiffs' Complaint to August 19, 2013.

8 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**
9

10 Date: June 10, 2013

11 
12 KANDIS A. WESTMORE
13 United States Magistrate Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28