

28

Dockets.Justia.com

the "amended complaint" was served via hand-delivery on the "counter person at City Hall, San
 Francisco, Room 456," also on November 7, 2013. *See* Docket Nos. 37, 38.

3 This service is improper because, *inter alia*, there is no indication that Plaintiff has ever 4 attempted to serve a summons on the above Defendants. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(1) ("A summons 5 must be served with a copy of the complaint. The plaintiff is responsible for having the summons 6 and complaint served within the time allowed by Rule 4(m) and must furnish the necessary copies to 7 the person who makes service."). Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires this 8 court to dismiss the action without prejudice against these Defendants if they are not served within 9 120 days after the complaint is filed, unless Plaintiff shows good cause for the failure to service, in 10 which case "the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period."

In his response to the order to show cause, Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, noted that his
failure to serve was the result of his "deteriorated emotional health, naivete, and inexperience, and
not [] lack of respect or effort." The court notes that it has referred Plaintiff to the resources
available to *pro se* plaintiffs, including this court's Pro Se Handbook and Legal Help Center, both of
which may provide Plaintiff assistance in this matter. Plaintiff indicated he was aware of these
resources. Given the circumstances, the court grants Plaintiff until January 10, 2014 to properly
serve the above Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**19** Dated: December 18, 2013

DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2