28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 WILLIAM LEON MAROTZ, No. C-13-01677 DMR 12 Plaintiff(s), **ORDER** 13 v. 14 CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO ET AL, 15 Defendant(s). 16 17 Pending before the court is a motion to dismiss filed by Defendant City of San Francisco. 18 [Docket No. 26.] In that motion, the City presented arguments for the dismissal of all claims against 19 all Defendants, including the Defendants named in the amended complaint who, at the time the 20 motion was filed, had not yet been served. Plaintiff has subsequently filed proofs of service with the 21 court indicating that those Defendants have been served. [Docket Nos. 53, 54.] 22 Accordingly, counsel for the City shall inform the court by **January 24, 2014** whether (1) 23 counsel for the City will also be representing the other Defendants, and (2) if so, whether those 24 Defendants wish to rest on arguments presented on their behalf by the City in its motion to dismiss, 25 or intend to file a separate motion to dismiss or responsive pleading. 26 IT IS SO ORDERED. 27 Dated: January 16, 2014

DONNA M. RYU

United States Magistrate Judge