

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7
8 KIM D. COSTA,

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

11 CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Commissioner,
12 Social Security Administration,

13 Defendant.

Case No.: 13-CV-01724-YGR

**ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO
INFORM COURT WHETHER THEY
CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FOR ALL PURPOSES**

14 The parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all
15 purposes, including entry of final judgment. *See* Civil L.R. 73-1(b). This option is being made
16 available because the magistrate judges in this district have smaller civil dockets and no felony
17 criminal cases and may be able to adjudicate this case more expeditiously than the undersigned
18 district judge.


19
20 Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than May 28,
21 2013, whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant
22 action. Normally, the parties would be directed to so inform the court in their Joint Case
23 Management Statement filed in connection with the initial case management conference. However,
24 because this case involves a review of an administrative record, a case management conference has
25 not been scheduled. For the parties' convenience, a consent form is attached hereto; forms are also
26 available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised
27 that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge. Counsel shall consult with
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

their clients regarding reassignment to a magistrate judge, and (if both parties consent to reassignment) shall meet and confer with opposing counsel to select a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 13, 2013



YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE