

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES E. WARD, ET AL.,

No. C-13-01735 DMR

Plaintiff(s),

**ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO FILE REVISED FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT [DOCKET
NO. 62]**

v.

GREGORY ALAN PICKETT, ET AL.,


Defendant(s).

The court is in receipt of Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a revised first amended complaint. [Docket No. 62.] The court has already granted Plaintiffs leave to amend certain claims in an order dated October 3, 2013. *See* Docket No. 59. The motion is therefore **denied as moot** with respect to the request to amend the claims. Plaintiffs shall file their revised first amended complaint so long as it is consistent with the October 3 order.

In addition, Plaintiffs move for leave to add Mike Pickett as a party defendant. The parties are ordered to meet and confer as to whether there are any objections to Plaintiffs doing so. If there are not, Defendants must file a statement of non-opposition to this aspect of the motion by October 31, 2013. *See* Civil L.R. 7-3(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 21, 2013



DONNA M. RYU
United States Magistrate Judge