## For the Northern District of California

| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |
| 4  | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |
| 5  | OAKLAND DIVISION  |
| 6  |   |
| 7  | In re MIGUEL DIAZ,  |
| 8  | Plaintiff No. C 13-1945 PJH (PR)  |
| 9  | ORDER OF DISMISSAL  |
| 10 |   |
| 11 | This case was opened when plaintiff wrote a letter to the court regarding medical                 |
| 12 | care. In an effort to protect his rights, it was filed as a new case. Plaintiff was informed that |
| 13 | he had not filed a complaint and was given thirty days to do so. He also was sent a notice        |
| 14 | that he had not paid the filing fee or applied for leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP");    |
| 15 | again, he was allowed thirty days to either pay the fee or file the application. A copy of the    |
| 16 | court's form for applications to proceed IFP was provided with the notice, along with a           |
| 17 | return envelope.  |
| 18 | No response has been received. This case is therefore <b>DISMISSED</b> without                    |
| 19 | prejudice. No fee is due. The clerk shall close the file.   |
| 20 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 21 | Dated: July 11, 2013.   |
| 22 | PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge  |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 | G:\PRO-SE\PJH\CR.13\Diaz1945.dsm-ifp.wpd  |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |
| 28 |   |