1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669				
2	City Attorney CHERYL ADAMS, State Bar #164194				
	Chief Trial Deputy				
3	BRADLEY A. RUSSI, State Bar #256993 Deputy City Attorney				
4	Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor				
5	San Francisco, California 94102-5408				
6	Telephone:   (415) 554-3936     Facsimile:   (415) 554-3837				
7	E-Mail: brad.russi@sfgov.org				
8	Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
9					
10					
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	JAMES ELLIS JOHNSON,	Case No. CV 13-02405 DM	R		
14	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION			
15	vs.	FOR EXTENSION OF TI	ME TO FILE		
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	RESPONSIVE PLEADIN OF BRADLEY A. RUSSI; ORDER			
17		ORDER			
18	Defendants.				
		I			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	Admin. Motion to Ext. Time for Responsive Pldg. Johnson v. United States, et al.; CV 13-02405 DMR	1	n:\lit\li2013\131215\00855978.doc		

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3, Defendant City and County of San Francisco ("the City") requests an extension of time to file a pleading responsive to Plaintiff's Complaint. The City makes this request based on the following circumstances:

On May 28, 2013, Plaintiff filed the Complaint in this litigation. Plaintiff served the 1. Complaint on the Mayor's Office on May 29, 2013.

2. On June 4, 2013, Plaintiff completed service on the City, by serving a summons on the 6 Mayor's Office. A responsive pleading is therefore due on June 25, 2013. 7

3. Lead counsel of record in this case for the City is Bradley A. Russi, Esq., of the Office of the San Francisco City Attorney. Mr. Russi first learned about this litigation on June 12, 2013, when he was assigned the case.

4. Since being assigned the case, Mr. Russi has been busy preparing a motion for summary judgment in another matter (C-12-00045-JST) and preparing for a trial (C-11-4911-JSC). Over the next several weeks, Mr. Russi will be involved in further trial preparations, depositions, and briefing in other matters.

5. The City believes that Plaintiff has failed to plead sufficient facts to state a claim for relief against it. Indeed, Plaintiff appears to be complaining about the actions of employees of the Veteran's Administration who arrested him, not about actions of the City. As a result, the City intends to file a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6) and believes that the case should be disposed of as to the City at the pleading stage. In light of counsel's schedule, a brief extension of time is needed in order for the City to prepare the motion.

6. The City requests that the deadline to file a responsive pleading be extended from June 25, 2013 to July 12, 2013. Plaintiff will not be prejudiced in any way by this brief extension. 22 23 Assuming that Plaintiff has properly served the federal defendants, their responsive pleading is not due until sixty days after service. Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 12(a)(2)-(3). Thus, this case will not be at issue for 24 25 several months, at the earliest.

The City met and conferred with Plaintiff regarding this requested extension by letter 26 7. dated June 19, 2013. Plaintiff responded by letter dated June 21, 2013, refusing the City's requested 27 seventeen-day extension. 28

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	For the reasons stated above, the City requests that the deadline for the City's responsive		
2	pleading be extended to July 12, 2013.		
3			
4	Dated: June 24, 2013		
5		DENNIS J. HERRERA	
6		City Attorney CHERYL ADAMS	
7		Chief Trial Attorney BRADLEY A. RUSSI	
8		Deputy City Attorney	
9	Bu	/s/ Bradley A. Russi	
10	by.	BRADLEY A. RUSSI	
11		Attorneys for Defendant	
12		CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Admin. Motion to Ext. Time for Responsive Pldg. Johnson v. United States, et al.; CV 13-02405 DMR	3 n:\lit\li2013\131215\008559	

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	Based on the above motion and for good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS as follows: the		
3	motion is GRANTED. The deadline for the City and County of San Francisco to file a responsive		
4	pleading shall be July 12, 2013.		
5	Dated:		
6	Dated:		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Admin. Motion to Ext. Time for Responsive Pldg. 6 n:\lit\li2013\131215\00855978.doc   Johnson v. United States, et al.; CV 13-02405 DMR 6 12000000000000000000000000000000000000		