

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GABRIELA SATMAREAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PHILIPS CONSUMER LUMINARIES, NA,
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 13-CV-02778-YGR

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE; CONTINUING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

A Case Management Conference is scheduled for October 21, 2013. The parties have failed to file a Joint Case Management Statement as required by the Local Rules, this Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases, and prior order of this Court. *See* Civil L.R. 16-9(a); *see* Standing Order in Civil Cases at Section 6 ("Joint case management statements are required and must be filed seven days in advance of the initial case management conference date."); Dkt. No. 24 ("The parties shall file a joint case management conference statement seven days in advance of the case management conference date.").

The parties are hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why they should not be sanctioned for failure to timely file a Joint Case Management Statement. A hearing on this Order to Show Cause will be held on Monday, November 4, 2013 at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 5. By no later than October 28, 2013, the parties must file either (1) a joint case management statement, which includes a statement that they have reviewed the Local Rules with respect to their responsibilities *and* this Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases; or (2) a written response to this Order to Show Cause why


1 they should not be sanctioned for their failure to timely file a joint case management statement.
2 Failure to file a joint statement, written response, or to appear personally will be deemed an admission
3 that no good cause exists and that the imposition of monetary sanctions is appropriate.

4 The Case Management Conference set for October 21, 2013 is hereby **CONTINUED** to
5 November 4, 2013 at 2:00 p.m. In light of this continuance, Defendant's request for permission to
6 appear by telephone is **DENIED** as moot. However, the Court notes that this request would have been
7 denied if the conference had occurred as scheduled. *See* Standing Order in Civil Cases at Section 6
8 ("Because of the substantive quality of the initial case management conference, telephonic
9 appearances are disfavored. The Court will grant requests to appear by telephone only upon a
10 *compelling showing of good cause*. The routine inconveniences of travel do not constitute good
11 cause.") (emphasis supplied).

12 This Order terminates Dkt. No. 27.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 Date: October 16, 2013


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28