

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAPACIFIC SHORES PROPERTY
OWNERS ASSOCIATION, et al.,

Petitioners/Plaintiffs,

v.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION,
et al.,Respondents/Defendants.

No. C 13-2827 PJH

**ORDER RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE;
ORDER RE HEARING DATE**

On October 10, 2013, respondent/defendant Federal Aviation Administration (“FAA”) filed a motion to dismiss the claims asserted against it. The motion was noticed for hearing on November 20, 2013. Under the Civil Local Rules of this court, the opposition of petitioners/plaintiffs was due on October 24, 2013. See Civ. L.R. 7-3. However, no opposition was filed until October 31, 2013 – one day after the date the FAA’s reply brief was due under the Local Rules. The court will allow the FAA one additional week to file a reply. The reply will be due no later than November 7, 2013.

Proposed intervenors have also noticed a motion for leave to intervene for November 20, 2013. The motion to intervene was filed on October 16, 2013, making the opposition due on October 30, 2013. Both respondents/defendants filed notices of no opposition by that date, but petitioners/plaintiffs again failed to comply with Local Rule 7-3. Accordingly, no opposition having yet been filed, the hearing date for the motion to

1 intervene is VACATED. The opposition shall be filed no later than November 6, 2013, and
2 any reply shall be filed no later than November 13, 2013. The court will decide the motion
3 on the papers.

4 The court cautions counsel for petitioners/plaintiffs that he is expected to comply
5 with all court rules, including the Rules of Civil Procedure and the Civil Local Rules of this
6 court.

7
8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9 Dated: October 31, 2013



PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28