1	Christopher A. Sproul (Bar No. 126398)	
2	Jodene L. Isaacs (Bar No. 226895) Environmental Advocates	
3	5135 Anza Street San Francisco, California 94121	
4	Telephone (415) 533-3376	
5	Facsimile: (415) 358-5695 Email: csproul@enviroadvocates.com	
6	Email: jisaacs@ enviroadvocates.com	
7	Michael A. Costa (Bar No. 219416)	
8	3848 Sacramento St. #2 San Francisco, CA 94118	
9	Telephone: (415) 342-0042 Email: mike@ocefoundation.org	
10		
11	OUR CHILDREN 'S EARTH FOUNDATION and ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION	
12	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION	
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14		
15	OUR CHILDREN 'S EARTH FOUNDATION,	Civil Case No.: 4:13-cv-2857-JSW-KAW
16	non-profit corporation, and ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, a non-profit corporation,	ORDER REQUIRING RESPONSE TO
17		[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTION TO DEFENDANTS'
18	Plaintiffs, v.	REPLY EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF
	U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR <i>DE</i> NOVO DETERMINATION OF
19	AGENCY, BOB PERCIASEPE, Acting	DISPOSITIVE MATTER REFERRED TO
20	Administrator, JARED BLUMENTHAL, Regional Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection	A MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21	Agency Region 9, NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE, REBECCA BLANK, as	
22	Acting Secretary of Commerce, RODNEY	Judge: Jeffrey S. White
23	MCINNIS, as Regional Administrator of the National Marine Fisheries Service Southwest	
24	Region, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE	
25	INTERIOR, KEN SALAZAR, as Secretary of the Department of the Interior, REN LOHOEFENER	
26	as Regional Administrator of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service Pacific Southwest Region,	
27		
28	Defendants.	

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	Having considered Plaintiffs' OBJECTION TO REPLY EVIDENCE, Exhibit 1 to DEFENDANTS'		
3	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR DE NOVO DETERMINATION OF		
4	DISPOSITIVE MATTER REFERRED TO MAGISTRATE ("Reply Motion") (Dkt. 95-1) is hereby		
5 6	stricken from the Record. Defendants shall file a response to the objection by 3:00 p.m. on March 11, 2016. that time the Court will determine whether it will strike the evidence, permit a		
7	surreply or take some other action. [Alternatively] Having considered Plaintiffs OBJECTION TO REPLY EVIDENCE above, Plaintiffs are		
8	hereby granted a Surreply to address Defendants' submission of new evidence in conjunction with their		
9	Reply Motion. Plaintiffs shall have days to submit a page surreply that addresses Defendants'		
10	newly submitted evidence.		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated: March 8, 2016		
13	HeN. LEFREY S. WHITE United States District Judge		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Proposed Order on Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Reply Evidence		

Proposed Order on Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Keply Evidence CIV. No.: 4:13-CV-2857-JSW-KAW