

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRED HAMPTON JR., ET AL.,

No. C-13-03094 DMR

Plaintiff(s),

**ORDER RE CHAMBERS COPIES**

v.

CITY OF OAKLAND, ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the chambers copy of Defendant City of Oakland’s motion for summary judgment and supporting documents (Docket Nos. 66, 68-75) was submitted in a format that is not usable by the court.

The chambers copy is not usable because it

- ( ) consists of a stack of loose paper wrapped with a rubber band;
- ( ) consists of a stack of loose paper fastened with a binder clip or a paper clip;
- ( ) is too thick to permit secure fastening with a staple, and is fastened with a brad-type fastener that is too short for the thickness of the document;
- (X) has no tabs for the exhibits;
- ( ) includes exhibits that are illegible;
- ( ) includes exhibits that are unreadable because the print is too small;
- ( ) includes text and/or footnotes in a font smaller than 12 point;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- ( ) includes portions or exhibits that are redacted because the submitting party has requested leave to file those portions under seal; or
- ( ) is not usable for another reason (\_\_\_).

The court has recycled the paper used for the above-described chambers copy. **By no later than October 1, 2014**, Defendant City of Oakland shall submit a chambers copy of the document in a format that is usable by the court. Specifically, each individual exhibit to each declaration filed in support of the City of Oakland's motion for summary judgment shall be individually tabbed and labeled.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 30, 2014

