

1 ANNE M. ROGASKI (State Bar No. 184754)
 arogaski@kilpatricktownsend.com
 2 KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
 1080 Marsh Road
 3 Menlo Park, CA 94025
 Telephone: 650-326-2400
 4 Facsimile: 650-326-2422

5 ROBERT D. TADLOCK (State Bar No. 238479)
 rtadlock@kilpatricktownsend.com
 6 KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
 Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
 7 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: 415-576-0200
 8 Facsimile: 415-576-0300

9 JEFFREY M. CONNOR (*pro hac vice*)
 jmconnor@kilpatricktownsend.com
 10 KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
 1400 Wewatta Street, Suite 600
 11 Denver, CO 80202
 Telephone: 303-571-4000
 12 Facsimile: 303-571-4321

13 Attorneys for Defendant YELP INC.

14
 15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 16 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 17 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

18 EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 YELP INC.,

22 Defendant.

Civil Action No. C 13-3587 DMR

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
 DEFENDANT’S ADMINISTRATIVE
 MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
 PORTIONS OF DEFENDANT’S REPLY
 IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR
 STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES*
 REVIEW**

1 Now before the Court is Defendant's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of
2 Defendant's Reply in Support of Its Motion for Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review ("Defendant's
3 Administrative Motion"). Upon consideration of Defendant's Administrative Motion and the
4 supporting declaration of Jeffrey M. Connor filed therewith, the Court finds there to be good cause
5 for granting Defendant's request to file the below-referenced document under seal.

6 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

7 1. Plaintiff possesses an overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the right of
8 public access to the record in the following document or portions thereof:

9

Document	Portion to be Sealed
Defendant's Reply in Support of its Motion for Stay Pending <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	Redacted Portions Thereof

10
11
12

13

14 2. Plaintiff's confidentiality interests support sealing the record;

15 3. A substantial probability exists that Plaintiff's overriding confidentiality interests
16 will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

17 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

18 5. No less restrictive means exists to achieve this overriding interest.

19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's Administrative Motion to File Under
20 Seal Portions of Defendant's Reply in Support of Its Motion for Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review
21 is GRANTED.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23

24

25 DATED: December 17, 2013



26 Honorable Donna M. Ryu
United States Magistrate Judge