

1 Stephen R. Jaffe, Cal. Bar No. 49539
 stephen.r.jaffe@jaffetriallaw.com
 2 Bailey K. Bifoss, Cal. Bar No. 278392
 bailey.k.bifoss@jaffetriallaw.com
 3 THE JAFFE LAW FIRM
 150 California Street, 21st Floor
 4 San Francisco, California 94111
 T: (415) 618-0100
 5 F: (415) 618-0080

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs JAMES CHADAM
 And JENNIFER CHADAM

7 Rodney L. Levin, Cal. Bar No. 169360
 Eliza J. McArthur, Cal. Bar No. 152312
 8 Jeffery W. Maisen, Cal. Bar No. 271809
 MCARTHUR & LEVIN, LLP
 9 637 N. Santa Cruz Avenue
 Los Gatos, California 95030
 10 T: (408) 741-2377
 F: (408) 741-2378

11 Attorneys for Defendant
 12 PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

13
 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 JAMES CHADAM and JENNIFER CHADAM,
 17 individually and on behalf of their minor children
 18 A.C. AND C.C.,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a
 22 governmental entity created and existing under
 23 the laws of the State of California,

24 Defendants.
 25

Case No.: C 13-04129 CW

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR
 PLAINTIFFS TO FILE THEIR RESPONSE
 TO DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS;
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

1 Plaintiffs and Defendant hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

2 1. On October 11, 2013, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint pursuant to
3 Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6). Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is
4 currently due by October 25, 2013.

5 2. The Parties are now engaged in settlement discussions and require additional time to
6 complete those discussions.

7 3. The Parties believe that the relief jointly requested will not prejudice the Court, either party,
8 or their counsel.

9 4. No other time modifications have been requested regarding this pleading.

10 5. The Parties have agreed that Plaintiffs may have an extension of time, up to and including
11 November 11, 2013, by which to respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

12 6. The Parties agree that Defendant's reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss, if any, will be
13 filed not later than November 18, 2013.

14
15 Dated: October 24, 2013

THE JAFFE LAW FIRM

16
17 By: _____/s/_____

18 Stephen R. Jaffe (SBN 49539)
19 Bailey K. Bifoss (SBN 278392)

20 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

21 Dated: October 24, 2013

MCARTHUR & LEVIN, LLP

22
23 By: _____/s/_____

24 Rodney L. Levin (SBN 169360)
25 Eliza J. McArthur (SBN 152312)

26 Attorneys for Defendant
27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Based on the Parties' stipulation and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

The Court will GRANT the Parties an amended briefing schedule for the hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Opposition papers, if any, shall be filed not later than November 11, 2013. Reply papers, if any, shall be filed not later than November 18, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 10/25/2013



The Honorable Judge Claudia Wilken

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER NO. 45:

Pursuant to General Order No. 45 of the Northern District of California, I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory to this document.

Dated: October 24, 2013

THE JAFFE LAW FIRM

By: _____/s/

Stephen R. Jaffe (SBN 49539)
Bailey K. Bifoss (SBN 278392)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs