## Anderson v. Unknown

Doc. 6

## 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 No. C 13-04278 YGR (PR) In re SAMUEL ANDERSON 6 **ORDER OF DISMISSAL** 7 8 This action was opened on September 16, 2013, when the Court received from Plaintiff 9 Samuel Anderson, who is currently incarcerated at California State Prison - Los Angeles County 10 (CSP-LAC), a letter addressed to Special Master John Hagar. (Docket No. 1.) 11 In this action, the Clerk of the Court opened a case file, sent out a notice that Plaintiff had not 12 filed a complaint, and cautioned that the action would be dismissed if he did not submit a complaint 13 within twenty-eight days. The Clerk also sent out a notice that this action was deficient due to 14 Plaintiff's failure to pay the filing fee or furnish a completed and signed Court-approved in forma 15 pauperis application, and cautioned that the action would be dismissed if he did not pay the fee or 16 file the application within twenty-eight days. 17 On September 27, 2013, Plaintiff filed with the Court a letter stating that he did not intend to 18 file a civil action but to complain about the "problems" he has been having with the "B-Yard 19 librarian about making copies of so many pages and exhibits." (Docket No. 4.) Plaintiff requests for 20 a "'Court Order' for physical access to B-Yards law library, and also that Court Order should state 21 how many copies are to be made because [he has] over 300 pages with evidence to be copied. " (Id.) 22 In addition, Plaintiff requests for the Court Order to grant him "corrections tape" because the 23 librarian "feels that corrections tape is not a material needed to correct mistakes ....." (Id.) Such 24 requests are DENIED because Plaintiff has not shown a sufficient reason for this Court to interfere 25 in the day-to-day operations of the prison. See Turner v. Safley, 482 U.S. 78, 84-86 (1987); Wright 26 v. Rushen, 642 F.2d 1129, 1132 (9th Cir. 1981) (courts should avoid enmeshing themselves in 27 minutiae of prison operations in name of constitution). In any event, should Plaintiff seek to allege 28 any further claims challenging the conditions of his confinement at CSP-LAC, those claims must be

Dockets.Justia.com

1	brought in a separate lawsuit in the Western Division of the United States District Court for the
2	Central District of California, the proper venue for claims arising in Los Angeles County, where
3	CSP-LAC is located. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b); 28 U.S.C. § 84.
4	In light of Plaintiff's explanation that the letter he sent to Special Master Hagar was not
5	intended to commence a new action, this action is DISMISSED as opened in error. No filing fee is
6	due. The Clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close the file.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	DATED: November 26, 2013
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	G:\PRO-SE\YGR\CR.13\Anderson4278.DISM(filedinerror).frm 2

**United States District Court** For the Northern District of California