XEROX CORPORATION, a corporation, and DOES One through Thirty-five, inclusive,

Defendants.

Complaint filed: June 27, 2013

First Amended Complaint filed: July 26, 2013

25

22

23

24

26

27

28

STIPULATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7-11 TO FILE TWO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO EXTEND DEADLINES - Case No. 4:13-cv-04596 JSW

Pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 and 7-12 and Judge White's	Standing Order
Paragraph 9, Defendant Xerox Corporation ("Defendant" or "Xerox") and Plaintiffs
Sakeenah McCullough and Daniel Gunther ("Plaintiffs") (collectively ref	erred to as the
"Parties") hereby stipulate and agree as follows:	
A. WHEREAS the close of discovery in this case is o	currently set for
B. WHEREAS dispositive motions are currently scheduled	d to be heard on

- April 17, 2015;
- C. WHEREAS Defendant intends to request summary judgment against both Plaintiffs;
- D. WHEREAS the Plaintiffs have disparate employment histories, different positions, different supervisors, and different legal claims;
- E. WHEREAS the parties have agreed that the filing of two separate motions for summary judgment would be more efficient, avoid confusion, unfairness and prejudice between the two cases, and avoid confusion of the issues and facts at stake;
- F. WHEREAS the filing of two separate motions would create additional time pressure under the standard briefing schedule for motions for summary judgment and the parties have agreed that an additional week for opposing each motion and an additional week for replying would be sufficient to alleviate any such pressure;

- G. WHEREAS providing the extra week for opposition and reply with the current motion hearing date would require the motions to be filed before the close of discovery;
- H. WHEREAS the Parties the parties participated in a private mediation with mediator Susan Haldeman on November 17, 2014, and the parties were unable to resolve the claims of either Plaintiff;
- WHEREAS the Parties are diligently pursuing additional discovery following the unsuccessful mediation, including scheduling four depositions in January; and

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL, AND AGREED AS FOLLOWS: Subject to the approval of the Court:

- 1) Defendant shall be permitted to file two separate Summary Judgment motions, one directed at each Plaintiff.
- 2) The following changes will be made to the briefing and hearing schedules for such motions:

Description	Current Date	Proposed Date
Last Day to File Dispositive Motions	3/13/2015	NO CHANGE
Last Day to File Opposition to Dispositive Motions	3/27/2015	4/3/2015
Last Day to File Reply to Dispositive Motions	4/3/2015	4/17/2015
Hearing on Dispositive Motion	4/17/2015	5/1/2015
Further CMC	4/17/2015	5/1/2015

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 12, 2015

MILLER LAW GROUP A Professional Corporation

By:

Adam J. Tullman Attorneys for Defendant XEROX CORPORATION

Dated: January 12, 2015

BOHBOT & RILES, LLP

Elizabeth/L. Riles Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SAKEENAH McCULLOUGH and DANIEL **GUNTHER**

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having reviewed the Stipulation executed by Plaintiffs SAKEENAH MCCULLOUGH and DANIEL GUNTHER and Defendant XEROX CORPORATION, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby orders that:

- Defendant shall be permitted to file two separate Summary Judgment motions, one directed at each Plaintiff.
- The Court adopts the suggested briefing schedule and continues the Case Management Conference and Hearing on Dispositive motions as follows:

Description	New Date
Last Day to File Dispositive Motions	3/13/2015
Last Day to File Opposition to Dispositive Motions	4/3/2015
Last Day to File Reply to Dispositive Motions	4/17/2015
Hearing on Dispositive Motion	5/1/2015
Further CMC	5/1/2015

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 26, 2015

Hon. Jeffretty 6.

y SWhits

District Judge

4847-0178-9473, v. 4