

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWIN JAY HUTCHINSON,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 13-04635 CW

v.

ORDER REGARDING  
PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE  
TO THE MOTIONS FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

CALIFORNIA PRISON INDUSTRY  
AUTHORITY, et al.,  
Defendants.

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

On September 25, 2015, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion under Rule 56(d) and ordered that all discovery disputes and issues be presented to Magistrate Judge Beeler. Docket No. 113. The Court further ordered that Plaintiff's response to Defendants' motions for summary judgment would be due twenty-eight days after the discovery disputes were resolved. On April 29, 2016, Magistrate Judge Beeler entered an order requiring Defendant A. Deems to produce certain photographs and stating, "Discovery is now closed." Docket No. 181.

Defendant Deems shall file a notice when he produces the photographs. Plaintiff's response to Defendants' motions for summary judgment is within twenty-eight days thereafter. Defendants' replies are due fourteen days after service of Plaintiff's response

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 3, 2016

  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge