| UNITED STA  | TES DISTRICT COURT  |
|---|---|
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>Northern District of California                                 |   |
|   |   |
| Plaintiff(s),   | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL;<br>Continuing Hearing On Motion To |
| vs.   | DISMISS   |
| ALLSTATE CORPORATION; SURETY LIFE   |   |
| INSURANCE COMPANY,  |   |
| Defendants.   |   |
|   |   |
| Defendant Surety Life Insurance Comp  | pany has filed its Motion to Dismiss Verified                         |
| Complaint, currently set for hearing on Januar  | ry 7, 2014. (Dkt. No. 18.) The motion seeks to dismiss                |
| the instant action, in part, on the grounds that  | Plaintiff in pro se, Connie H. Sebastian, has filed                   |
| complaints alleging nearly identical claims wh  | hich have been dismissed in the state court for failure to            |
| state a viable cause of action.   |   |
|   | hat motion in which she listed each of her claims and                 |
| Plaintiff filed a one-page response to that motion, in which she listed each of her claims and  |   |
| requested appointment of counsel in this civil action. The Court denied Plaintiff's request for |   |
| appointment of counsel by Order issued November 25, 2013. (Dkt. No. 20.) Plaintiff has filed no |   |

further substantive response to the Motion to Dismiss.

Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** in writing why the motion to dismiss should not be granted as unopposed and this action dismissed for failure to prosecute. <u>Plaintiff shall a written</u> response to the Order to Show Cause and/or motion **no later than January 10, 2014**. Failure to respond timely will result in dismissal of this action.

The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is **CONTINUED** to **January 28, 2014, at 2:00 p.m.** IT IS SO ORDERED.

<sup>26</sup> **F cvgf < F gego dgt '52.'4235** 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28