1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LORENZO ADAMSON, No. C-13-05233 DMR	
12	Plaintiff(s), ORDER DISMISSING DOE DEFENDANTS	
13	V.	
14	CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL,	
15	Defendant(s).	
16		
17	On October 29, 2014, the court held a case management conference and ordered that the	
18	deadline for Plaintiff to amend the pleadings to name the Doe Defendants was November 12, 20	14.
19	[Docket No. 36.] Plaintiff failed to amend the pleadings by that deadline. On December 2, 2014	1,
20	the court issued an order to show cause stating that "[t]o date, no amended complaint has been fi	led.
21	By December 5, 2014, Plaintiff shall respond to this order to show cause for why the court shou	ld
22	not dismiss the Doe Defendants." [Docket No. 38.]	
23	To date, Plaintiff has neither filed an amended complaint nor responded to the court's ord	der
24	show cause. Accordingly, the Doe Defendants are dismissed.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: December 17, 2014	
27	DONNA M. RYU	
28	United States Magistrate Judge	