

1 WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.
 2 DANNY L. WILLIAMS (TX Bar No. 21518050)
 3 dwilliams@wmalaw.com
 4 Williams, Morgan & Amerson, P.C.
 5 10333 Richmond Ave, Suite 1100
 6 Houston, Texas 77042
 7 Phone: 713-934-7000
 8 Facsimile: 713-934-7011

9 Attorneys for Defendant
 10 APPLE INC.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 OAKLAND DIVISION

14 U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC,
 15 *Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,*

16 v.

17 Acer, Inc., *et al.,*
 18 *Defendants and Counterclaimants,*

19 Intel Corporation, *et al.,*
 20 *Intervenors and Counterclaimants.*

21 Apple Inc.
 22 *Third-Party Plaintiff,*

23 v.

24 Oracle America, Inc,
 25 *Third-Party Defendant.*

Case No. 3:10-cv-03724 CW

[Related Cases: 5:10cv05254 CW and
 3:10cv03481 CW]

**APPLE INC'S THIRD-PARTY
 COMPLAINT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

26
 27
 28 APPLE INC'S THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT
 AGAINST ORACLE
 No. 3:10-cv-03724

1 Plaintiff, Apple Inc. (“Apple”), for its Third-Party Complaint against Defendant Oracle
2 America, Inc. (“Oracle”), alleges as follows:

3 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

4 1. This is a complaint by Apple against Oracle pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil
5 Procedure 14 for breach of contract and equitable indemnification, including, *inter alia*, Oracle’s
6 obligations to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless Apple for any and all claims alleged by U.S.
7 Ethernet Innovations, LLC (“USEI”) of infringement of United States Patent Numbers 5,299,313
8 (“the ‘313 Patent”); 5,307,459 (“the ‘459 Patent”); 5,434,872 (“the ‘872 Patent”); and 5,732,094
9 (“the ‘094 Patent”) (collectively, “the USEI Asserted Patents”) asserted in this Civil Action No.
10 3:10-cv-03724 (“the Lawsuit”).
11

12 **PARTIES**

13 2. Apple Inc. (“Apple”) is a California corporation organized and existing under the
14 laws of California, with its principal place of business at One Infinite Loop, Cupertino, California
15 95014.
16

17 3. Upon information and belief, Oracle America, Inc. (“Oracle”) is a corporation
18 organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with is principal place of business at 500 Oracle
19 Parkway, Redwood City, California 94065.
20

21 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

22 4. USEI brought Civil Action No. 3:10-cv-03724 (“the Lawsuit”) against Apple,
23 asserting liability for infringement of the USEI Asserted Patents, which liability has been denied
24 by Apple.

25 5. The Court has jurisdiction over these claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because the
26 claims “form part of the same case or controversy” with the allegations made against Apple in the
27

1 underlying patent infringement lawsuit. This Court has jurisdiction over the underlying patent
2 infringement allegations pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

3 6. Oracle is subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction because it resides in
4 California, does substantial business in this forum, and the events giving rise to this Third-Party
5 Complaint occurred in this forum.

6 7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
7

8 **FACTS AND BACKGROUND**

9 8. On October 9, 2009, USEI sued Apple in the United States District Court for the
10 Eastern District of Texas, Case No. 6:09-cv-448, alleging that Apple and other Defendants
11 infringe the '313, '459, '872, and '094 Patents. USEI filed its First Amended Complaint for
12 Patent Infringement on May 4, 2010 ("Amended Complaint"), maintaining its allegations of
13 infringement against Apple for infringement of the USEI Asserted Patents.

14 9. In paragraph 32 of the Amended Complaint, USEI alleges that Apple "infringed
15 and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '459 Patent, directly, contributorily, and/or by
16 inducement, by importing, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling products and devices
17 which embody the patented invention."
18

19 10. In paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint, USEI alleges that Apple "infringed
20 and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '872 Patent, directly, contributorily, and/or by
21 inducement, by importing, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling products and devices
22 which embody the patented invention."
23

24 11. In paragraph 50 of the Amended Complaint, USEI alleges that Apple "infringed
25 and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '094 Patent, directly, contributorily, and/or by
26
27
28

1 inducement, by importing, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling products and devices
2 which embody the patented invention.”

3 12. In paragraph 59 of the Amended Complaint, USEI alleges that Apple “infringed
4 and continues to infringe one or more claims of the ‘313 Patent, directly, contributorily, and/or by
5 inducement, by importing, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling products and devices
6 which embody the patented invention.”

7
8 13. On April 28, 2010, USEI served Apple with Plaintiff U.S. Ethernet Innovations,
9 LLC’s First Supplemental Infringement Contentions to the Apple Defendants (“Supplemental
10 Infringement Contentions”). USEI’s Supplemental Infringement Contentions identify Oracle
11 Ethernet technology, including the “Sun GEM Ethernet Controller Family,” as the bases for
12 USEI’s alleged infringement of certain Apple products for the ‘459 Patent (USEI Claim Chart
13 Number 30), the ‘872 Patent (USEI Claim Chart Number 31), the ‘094 Patent (USEI Claim Chart
14 Number 32), and the ‘313 Patent (USEI Claim Chart Number 33).

15
16 14. On or about May 20, 1998, Apple entered into an agreement (the “Technology
17 License Agreement”) with Sun Microsystems Inc. (“Sun”), contractually obligating Sun to
18 indemnify, defend, and hold harmless Apple as a result of any claim or proceeding alleging
19 intellectual property infringement of the Ethernet technology supplied by Sun to Apple.

20 15. Subsequent to May 20, 1998, Oracle has become the party and successor-in-interest
21 to all of Sun’s rights and obligations under the Technology License Agreement.

22
23 16. Upon information and belief, on or about January 27, 2010, Oracle announced that
24 it had finalized its acquisition of Sun. On information and belief, as part of Oracle’s acquisition of
25 Sun, Sun assigned all rights, obligations, and liabilities under the Technology License Agreement
26 to Oracle.

1 Apple, and in an amount equal to any monies it pays to satisfy any settlements of, or judgments in,
2 the Lawsuit.

3 26. The legal fees and expenses Apple has incurred and paid to date were reasonable.

4 **COUNT II – EQUITABLE INDEMNIFICATION**
5 **UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW**

6 27. Apple hereby incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, the allegations
7 in paragraphs 1-26, supra.

8 28. Apple has been damaged in an amount equal to any fees, costs, or expenses it has
9 incurred in defending the Lawsuit, in relation to the Ethernet technology supplied by Oracle to
10 Apple, and will continue to be damaged in an amount equal to any fees, costs or expenses incurred
11 in continuing to defend the Lawsuit and in an amount equal to any monies it pays to satisfy any
12 settlements of, or judgments in, the Lawsuit.

13 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

14 29. Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 3-6,
15 Apple demands a trial by jury of this action.

16 **PRAY FOR RELIEF**

17 WHEREFORE, Third-Party Plaintiff Apple prays for a final judgment against Oracle and
18 respectfully requests the following relief
19

20 (a) A judgment that Oracle is liable to Apple to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless
21 Apple from and against any claims or demands of USEI arising from infringement of the ‘459,
22 ‘872, ‘094, or ‘313 patents in connection with the Ethernet technology supplied by Oracle to
23 Apple;
24

1 (b) A judgment that Oracle has breached the Technology License Agreement for the
2 Ethernet technology accused of infringement, sold by Oracle to Apple, and is liable for that breach
3 to Apple;

4 (c) A judgment under 35 U.S.C. § 285 awarding Apple its costs and reasonable
5 attorneys' fees expended in defending the Lawsuit in connection with the Ethernet technology
6 supplied by Oracle to Apple;

7 (d) A judgment awarding Apple its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees expended in
8 bringing and prosecuting this third-party action;

9 (e) An order awarding Apple all sums that may be adjudicated against Apple in favor
10 of USEI in the Lawsuit, in connection with the Ethernet technology supplied by Oracle to Apple,
11 including without limitation, any interest thereon as well as fees, costs, or any other sum; and
12

13 (f) A judgment awarding Apple such other and further relief as this Court may deem
14 just and proper.
15

16 DATED: April 19, 2013

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.

17
18 By: /s/ Danny Williams

Danny Williams

19
20 Counsel for Apple Inc.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28