

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

AARON BUCHANAN, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 13-80215M CW

ORDER RETURNING
COMPLAINTS TO
PLAINTIFF

=====/
JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOE, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 13-80220M CW

=====/
JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

SGT. PENA, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 13-80221M CW

=====/
JEROME L. GRIMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

EXPRESS AUTOMOBILE PAWN, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 13-80222M CW

1 JEROME L. GRIMES; and LUCY MAE
2 GRIMES, decedent,

No. C 13-80223M CW

3 Plaintiff,

4 v.

5 BRIAN SECEMSKY, et al.,

6 Defendants.

7 _____/
8 JEROME L. GRIMES; and LUCY MAE
9 GRIMES, decedent,

No. C 13-80224M CW

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 GIDEON PRICE, et al.,

12 Defendants.

13 _____/
14 JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 13-80225M CW

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 KING'S SECURITY SERVICES, et al.,

18 Defendants.

19 _____/
20 JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 13-80227M CW

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 SAFEWAY GROCERY STORE, et al.,

24 Defendants.

25 _____/
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JEROME L. GRIMES,
Plaintiff,

No. C 13-80232M CW

v.

ALEX LILIEN, et al.,
Defendants.

=====

JEROME L. GRIMES,
Plaintiff,

No. C 13-80233M CW

v.

GERMAN WOODS, et al.,
Defendants.

=====

On December 9, 2005, this Court entered a pre-filing order regarding the cases filed by Plaintiff Jerome Grimes. The pre-filing order states that if Mr. Grimes files a complaint that is "related to any of the following matters:

(1) a diversified group of individuals who commit acts of terror against Mr. Grimes, his family and other citizens;

(2) an injunction against the defendants to prevent them from kidnaping, framing, falsely imprisoning or otherwise terrorizing Mr. Grimes, his family, and other citizens;

(3) a court order for the defendants to be subjected to a lie detector test;

(4) covert terrorism

it will not be filed unless it presents cognizable claims that are not based on merely conclusory allegations. Second, no other complaints filed by Mr. Grimes while he is not incarcerated or

1 detained will be filed unless they contain intelligible factual
2 allegations and claims for relief."

3 The Court has reviewed the above-captioned complaints filed
4 by Mr. Grimes and finds that they shall not be filed for the
5 reasons stated below.

6 Complaints C13-80215M and C13-80233M allege that Defendants
7 are engaged in covert terrorism and that they conspired with a
8 diverse group of others to commit acts of terror against Mr.
9 Grimes and others. They also seek court orders for Defendants to
10 be subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no
11 cognizable causes of action in these complaints.

12 Complaints C13-80220M, 13-80221M, 13-80225M, 13-80227M and
13 13-80232M allege that Defendants framed Mr. Grimes and falsely
14 imprisoned him. They also seek court orders for Defendants to be
15 subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no
16 cognizable causes of action in these complaints.

17 Complaint C13-80222M seeks a court order for Defendants to be
18 subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no
19 cognizable cause of action in this complaint.

20 Complaints C13-80223M and 13-80224M were filed by Mr. Grimes,
21 on behalf of himself and a decedent, Lucy Mae Grimes. The
22 complaints involve allegations of Defendants kidnaping, framing
23 and falsely imprisoning Mr. Grimes and his family. They also seek
24 court orders for all Defendants to be subjected to a lie detector
25 test. Mr. Grimes alleges no cognizable causes of action in these
26 complaints. In addition, pro se litigants are not permitted to
27
28

1 represent others in federal court and thus Mr. Grimes may not
2 bring claims on behalf of Ms. Grimes or her estate.¹

3 Because the above-captioned complaints concern multiple
4 matters mentioned in the pre-filing order and present no
5 cognizable causes of action, the Clerk of the Court is ordered not
6 to file them. Instead, the complaints shall be returned to Mr.
7 Grimes.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 Dated: 11/18/2013

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

26 _____
27 ¹ Mr. Grimes appears to be attempting to bring the claims on
28 behalf of Ms. Grimes directly and not on behalf of her estate. He
does not allege that he is the personal representative of her
estate.