Dated: February 28, 2014

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROBERT H. OCONNOR, No. C-14-00211 DMR 12 **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** Plaintiff(s), 13 v. 14 WELLS FARGO N.A., 15 Defendant(s). 16 17 On February 7, 2014, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. [Docket No. 6.] Currently, the motion is set for hearing on March 27, 2014. Plaintiff is pursuing this action without 18 legal representation. According to the local Court rules, Plaintiff should have filed any 19 brief in opposition to Defendant's motion by February 21, 2014. See N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-3. The 20 court has received no such opposition. The court ORDERS Plaintiff to respond by March 10, 2014 and explain his failure to 21 respond to the motion. In addition, Plaintiff must simultaneously (1) submit his opposition(s) to the 22 court or (2) file a statement of non-opposition to the motion. This order to show cause does 23 not indicate that the court will necessarily accept Plaintiff's late submission. If Plaintiff does not respond by March 10, 2014, Defendant's motion may be granted. 24 The court further ORDERS that Defendant shall file a reply, if any, to Plaintiff's opposition 25 no later than March 17, 2014. IT IS SO ORDERED. 26

DONNA M. RYU

United States Magistrate Judge