1 JOHN P. ZAIMES (SBN 91933) JEFFREY F. KELLER (SBN 148005) John Nadolenco (SBN 181128) ifkeller@kellergrover.com 2 Barrett L. Schreiner (SBN 266617) ERIC A. GROVER (SBN 136080) MAYER BROWN LLP 3 eagrover@kellergrover.com 350 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor CAREY G. BEEN (SBN 240996) Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503 4 cbeen@kellergrover.com Telephone: (213) 229-9500 KELLER GROVER LLP 5 Facsimile: (213) 625-0248 1965 Market Street San Francisco, California 94103 6 Attorneys for Defendant Telephone: (415) 543-1305 FIRST ADVANTAGE BACKGROUND 7 Facsimile: (415) 543-7861 SERVICES, CORP. 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff G. TSANG 9 Dianne A. Bettino (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) 10 Mark S. Melodia (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) 11 Paul Bond (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) REED SMITH LLP 12 136 Main Street, Suite 250 Princeton, NJ 08540 13 Telephone: (609) 514-5962 Facsimile: (609) 951-0824 14 Attorneys for Defendants 15 LEXISNEXIS RISK SOLUTIONS INC. 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 (OAKLAND DIVISION) 19 20 G. TSANG, individually and on behalf of Case No: 14-cv-0493-YGR classes of similarly situated individuals, 21 CLASS ACTION Plaintiffs, 22 **ORDER GRANTING** v. STIPULATION FOR VOLUNTARY 23 DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE LEXISNEXIS RISK SOLUTIONS INC.; and 24 FIRST ADVANTAGE BACKGROUND 4. 3rd Floor Ctrm: SERVICES, CORP., Judge: The Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers 25 Defendants. Action Filed: January 31, 2014 26 27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Plaintiff G. Tsang ("Plaintiff") and Defendants LexisNexis Risk Solutions Inc. and First Advantage Background Services, Corp. (collectively "the Parties"), through their respective counsel, that the entire above-captioned action be and hereby is dismissed. The dismissal shall be and hereby is made with prejudice with respect to Plaintiff's individual claims pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(ii).

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the allegations asserted by Plaintiff on behalf of a putative class be and hereby are dismissed without prejudice. Under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as amended in 2003, notice to the putative class "is not required when the settlement binds only the individual class representatives." See Advisory Committee Notes on 2003 Amendments to Rule 23, Subdivision (e), Paragraph (1). This dismissal binds only Plaintiff G. Tsang and does not resolve the claims, issues, or defenses of the alleged putative class.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that with the exception of any amounts set forth in any settlement agreements entered into by the parties on or before the dates set forth below, and in consideration of those settlements and the dismissal of the lawsuit, each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees incurred as part of or as a result of this litigation.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

By: /s/ Carey G. Been CAREY G. BEEN

Counsel for Plaintiff G. TSANG

28