Roe v. Frito-Lay, In	c.	Do
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	JANE ROE,	Case No. 4:14-CV-00751 JSW
14	Plaintiff,	
15	V.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TRIAL & ALL
16	FRITO-LAY, INC., a foreign	RELATED DATES
17	FRITO-LAY, INC., a foreign corporation doing business in California, and DOES 1 through 10,	Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White Courtroom: 5
18	inclusive,	Trial Date: February 1, 2016 Complaint Filed: December 20, 2013
19	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: December 20, 2013
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CMDH 405(07000.1	

Doc 32

1 FINDING THAT GOOD CAUSE EXISTS, IT IS HEREBY 2 **ORDERED THAT:** 3 The deadline to complete class discovery be extended from 1. 4 5 December 19, 2014, to May 22, 2015. 6 The close of fact and expert discovery be extended from August 7 2. 7, 2015, to February 5, 2016. 8 9 10 3. The hearing on Motion for Class Certification be extended from February 13, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., to August 14, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. 11 12 13 4. The hearing on dispositive motions (if any) be extended from October 30, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., to April 29, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. 14 15 5. The Pretrial Conference be extended from January 11, 2016 at 16 2:00 p.m., to July 11, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. 17 18 Jury Selection be extended from January 27, 2016 at 8:00 a.m., 19 6. to July 27, 2016 at 8:00 a.m. 20 21 Jury Trial be extended from February 1, 2016 at 8:00 a.m., to 22 7. 23 August 1, 2016 at 8:00 a.m. (10 day estimate). 24 25 26 27 28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

____, 2014

THE HONDRAPLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
District Judge of the Northern District of
California

-2-