

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PHILLUP TAYLOR,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

SAN MATEO POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendant(s).

No. C-14-01000 DMR

**ORDER TO SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL
BRIEFING**

The court has reviewed the parties’ submissions regarding Defendant’s motion for sanctions. [Docket Nos. 38, 39.] In his declaration, defense counsel summarizes the time for which Defendant seeks reimbursement from Plaintiff and his counsel. (Atkinson Decl., Jan. 9, 2015, ¶ 89.) The court orders Defendant to submit a more detailed accounting sufficient to support Defendant’s requested award of reasonable attorney’s fees for the alleged discovery misconduct at issue in this motion, such that the court may determine whether the time spent was “excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary.” *Hensley v. Eckerhart* , 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983); *see also* N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 54-5. Such briefing shall be filed by no later than **5:00 p.m. on February 9, 2015**. If Plaintiff challenges the amount of attorney’s fees sought, he must identify specific entries to which he objects by no later than **5:00 p.m. on February 11, 2015**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: February 4, 2015

