

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 OAKLAND DIVISION
4

5 LAS VIRGENES MUNICIPAL WATER
6 DISTRICT - TRIUNFO SANITATION
DISTRICT, a Joint Powers Authority,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 vs.

9 GINA McCARTHY, Administrator of the
10 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY, and DOES 1-10,
11 inclusive,

12 Defendants.

Case No: C 14-1392 SBA

RELATED TO:
No. C 98-4825 SBA

**ORDER REGARDING
“DISCOVERY LETTER”**


Dkt. 51

13 On July 22, 2014, Plaintiff filed a discovery letter with the Court in which it claims,
14 among other things, that “[t]he scope of discovery has not been resolved.” Dkt. 51.
15 Though not entirely clear, Plaintiff appears to complain that Defendant has not yet
16 produced the administrative record, which, in turn, is delaying its ability to file a discovery
17 motion. The letter concludes: “[W]e ask for your prompt attention to the foregoing so a
18 discovery motion can be filed under FRCP 26(b)(1).” *Id.*

19 There is no indication that the Plaintiff met and conferred with Defendant prior to
20 submitting its letter, as required by the Court’s Standing Orders. In addition, it is unclear
21 what relief, if any, Plaintiff is requesting in its letter. To the extent that Plaintiff is seeking
22 a court order compelling Defendant to take certain action, Plaintiff must, after first meeting
23 and conferring with Defendant, file an appropriate motion consistent with the Civil Local
24 Rules. *See* Civ. L.R. 7 (specifying types of motions and requests that may be filed).
25 Docket 51 shall be terminated.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: August 6, 2014

28 
SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge