1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6	ROHINI KUMAR, individually and on behalf of the general public and those similarly situated,	Case No.: 14-CV-2411- YGR
7		ORDER DIRECTING FURTHER SUBMISSION
8	Plaintiff,	ON PENDING MOTIONS TO SEAL
9	vs.	
10	SALOV NORTH AMERICA CORP.,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	In connection with the pending motion for class certification, the parties have submitted	
14	Administrative Motions to File Under Seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5. (Dkt. Nos. 84, 97, and	
15	108.) Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) provides:	
16	108.) Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) provides.	
17	If the Submitting Party is seeking to file under seal a document designated as confidential by the opposing party or a non-party pursuant to a protective order, or	
18	a document containing information so designated by an opposing party or a non- party[w]ithin 4 days of the filing of the filing of the Administrative Motion to	
19	File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by	
20	subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable.	
21 22	N.D. Cal. Civ. L. R. 79-5(e)(1). Here, on both Plaintiff's and Defendant's motions, no declarations	
23	in compliance with the requirement stated in Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)(1) have been filed. Moreover,	
24	the declaration submitted in connection with Defendant's motion fails to set forth a sufficient basis	
25	to establish good cause for sealing all the matters it has designated confidential or highly	
26		
27	confidential and therefore seeks to seal.	
28		

The Designating Parties shall file any additional declarations in support of these motions no later than 5:00 p.m. on Monday, May 23, 2016. Failure to file adequate supporting declarations will result in denial of the motion(s) at issue. IT IS SO ORDERED. Jonene Gyaleflice Dated: May 19, 2016 YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE**

Northern District of California United States District Court