

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 **ROHINI KUMAR,**

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 **SALOV NORTH AMERICA CORP,**

10 Defendant.  
11

Case No. 14-cv-02411-YGR

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF  
FROM NON-DISPOSITIVE PRE-TRIAL  
ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Re: Dkt. No. 35

12 On October 14, 2014, Plaintiff Rohini Kumar filed his Motion for Relief from Non-  
13 Dispositive Pre-Trial Order of Magistrate Judge Nathanael M. Cousins (“Motion for Relief”),  
14 specifically Magistrate Judge Cousins’ Order Granting Defendant’s Request for Temporary Stay  
15 of Discovery (Dkt. No. 31). The Court, having carefully reviewed Plaintiff’s Motion for Relief  
16 and documents submitted in support thereof, as well as the underlying Order of Magistrate Judge  
17 Cousins, **DENIES** the Motion for Relief.

18 A non-dispositive order of a magistrate judge is reviewed by the District Court under the  
19 clearly erroneous or contrary to law standard. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A).  
20 Plaintiff has not demonstrated that the Order Granting Defendant’s Request for Temporary Stay of  
21 Discovery issued by Magistrate Judge Cousins is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. The Court  
22 has not yet ruled on Defendant’s motion to dismiss, which the Court took under submission on  
23 September 30, 2014.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: October 21, 2014

26   
27 YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

United States District Court  
Northern District of California