1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 SHAWNCEY BLAKE. No. C 14-3727 JSW (PR) 11 Plaintiff. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH LEAVE TO AMEND 12 v. 13 SANTA CLARA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS: SERGEANT 14 GILETTE; LIEUTENANT TAYLOR; CAPTAIN SEPULVEDA, 15 Defendants. 16 17 INTRODUCTION 18 Plaintiff, an inmate at the Santa Clara County Jail, filed this pro se civil rights 19 complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claiming that he was disciplined in retaliation for 20 pursuing complaints in administrative grievances and in the courts. His application to 21 proceed in forma pauperis is granted in a separate order. The complaint is dismissed 22 with leave to amend. 23 STANDARD OF REVIEW 24 Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners 25 seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 26 28 U.S.C. 1915A(a). In its review the court must identify any cognizable claims, and 27 dismiss any claims which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief 28

1
 2
 3

may be granted, or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *Id.* at 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." "Specific facts are not necessary; the statement need only "give the defendant fair notice of what the claim is and the grounds upon which it rests."" *Erickson v. Pardus*, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 (2007) (citations omitted). Although in order to state a claim a complaint "does not need detailed factual allegations, . . . a plaintiff's obligation to provide the 'grounds of his 'entitle[ment] to relief' requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do. . . . Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65 (2007) (citations omitted). A complaint must proffer "enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 1974. Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

LEGAL CLAIMS

Plaintiff alleges that he filed an administrative grievance with jail officials requesting his medical records and a doctor's appointment. Defendant Gilette told him not to contact "Internal Affairs Agent Linda Kowell" about these complaints. Plaintiff then contacted Kowell, complaining that he had not received his records or a response to his requests. Plaintiff was then disciplined. He claims that the discipline was in retaliation for his grievance, his complaints to Kowell, and his attempts to present his claims in the courts.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

Plaintiff has also not alleged any conduct by Defendants Sepulveda or Taylor, and he has not alleged how Defendants Gilette and Kowell retaliated against him. Even at the pleading stage, "[a] plaintiff must allege facts, not simply conclusions, that show that an individual was personally involved in the deprivation of his civil rights." *Barren v. Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998); *Leer v. Murphy*, 844 F.2d 628, 634 (9th Cir. 1988). In his amended complaint, Plaintiff must allege what actions each

which he cures this deficiency, if he can do so in good faith.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

violation.

CONCLUSION

individual took or failed to take that caused the retaliation or other constitutional

Plaintiff has not alleged any conduct by defendant Santa Clara County

Department of Corrections, which is a department of the municipality of Santa Clara

County. To impose liability under Section 1983 against a municipal entity such as Santa

Clara County for a violation of constitutional rights, a plaintiff must show: (1) that the

plaintiff possessed a constitutional right of which he or she was deprived; (2) that the

municipality had a policy; (3) that this policy amounts to deliberate indifference to the

plaintiff's constitutional rights; and (4) that the policy is the moving force behind the

438 (9th Cir. 1997); see Monell v. Dep't of Social Servs., 436 U.S. 658, 690 (1978).

Plaintiff does not allege any policy by Santa Clara County that allegedly led to the

retaliation against him. Plaintiff will be given leave to file an amended complaint in

constitutional violation. Plumeau v. School Dist. #40 County of Yamhill, 130 F.3d 432,

This case is DISMISSED WITH LEAVE TO AMEND.

Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint within **twenty eight (28) days from the date this order is filed**. Plaintiff is advised to use the Court's complaint form. The amended complaint **must** include the caption and civil case number used in this order (No. C 14-3727 JSW (PR)) and the words "COURT-ORDERED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT" on the first page. Because an amended complaint completely replaces the original complaint, *see Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992),

1	Plaintiff may not incorporate material from the original by reference. Failure to amend
2	within the designated time and in accordance with this order will result in the dismissal
3	of this action.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	DATED: October 7, 2014
6	
7	JEFFREY'S. WHITE United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	
7	SHAWNCEY BLAKE, Case Number: CV14-03727 JSW
8	Plaintiff,
9	V. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
10	SANTA CLARA DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS ET AL et al,
11	Defendant.
12	
13 14	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
15 16 17	That on October 7, 2014, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
18	
19	Shawncey Blake #14019586/DUJ72 Elmwood Complex Men's Facility
701 South Abel Street Milpites CA 95035	701 South Abel Street Milpitas CA 95035
21	Dated: October 7, 2014
22	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk
23	Zy. commen a training Z aparty a training
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	