UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCIA CLAYTON	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. <u>4:14-cv-03921-KAW</u>
v. PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC. Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have met ar following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their needs ADR phone conference and may not file the ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Ruprivate Process:	NE) (ADR L.R. 5) It lement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ale 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private ADR (please identify	process and provider)
referring the case to an ADR	by: he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order A process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 11-4-14	Trinette G. Kent Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 11-4-14	Elizabeth Franklin Attorney for Defendant

IDDADAGENI	ADNED
PROPOSEDI	UNDER

X	The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
	The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/17/14

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."