

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 **J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,**
4 Plaintiff,
5 v.
6 **SANTANA SALDIVAR LUNA, ET AL.,**
7 Defendants.
8

Case No. 14-cv-03955-YGR

**ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE; SETTING COMPLIANCE
HEARING**

9 A Case Management Conference (“CMC”) in the above-captioned action is scheduled for
10 March 14, 2016 at 2 p.m. Pursuant to the Court’s Standing Order in Civil Cases, a joint CMC
11 statement was due on March 7, 2016. On that date, defendants filed a separate statement
12 indicating “Defendants’ counsel attempted to contact Plaintiff’s counsel to propose a joint
13 statement, including sending a draft joint statement, but no reply was received.” (Dkt. No. 14 at
14 1.) The next day, on March 8, 2016, plaintiff filed a CMC statement that did not address this
15 failure. (Dkt. No. 15.) Plaintiff also filed a request for telephonic appearance at the CMC in light
16 of counsel’s geographic location and other case conflicts. (Dkt. No. 16.)

17 The Court has reviewed the parties’ joint CMC statement and the pleadings in this action
18 and hereby **CONTINUES** the Case Management Conference to **March 28, 2016 at 2 p.m.** so that
19 the parties can determine whether consent to a magistrate judge of their choice and for all
20 purposes is warranted. The Northern District’s use of magistrate judges for all purposes has been
21 hailed as the model for the United States.

22 In this regard, counsel **shall personally** review each magistrate judge’s profile and discuss
23 the option with both opposing counsel and their clients. Profiles of each magistrate judge and
24 their respective locations can be found at: <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/judges>. The Court
25 hereby **SETS** a compliance hearing on **March 25, 2016 at 9:01 a.m.** in the Federal Courthouse,
26 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California, Courtroom 1. Counsel shall confirm compliance as
27 follows: five (5) business days prior to the date of the compliance hearing, the parties shall file
28 either: (1) a joint stipulation to a magistrate judge for all purposes, or (2) a joint statement

1 verifying counsel have personally reviewed each magistrate judge’s profile and have discussed the
2 options as directed but have been unable to reach consensus. If compliance is complete, the
3 parties need not appear and the compliance hearing will be taken off calendar. Failure to file the
4 required joint filing may result in sanctions. If the parties have not stipulated to a magistrate
5 judge, the CMC will proceed before the undersigned as scheduled herein.

6 In light of the foregoing, the request for a telephonic appearance is **DENIED** as moot. The
7 Court notes that a joint statement is due seven days in advance of each CMC pursuant to the
8 Court’s Standing Order in Civil Cases. Failure by plaintiff to cooperate in timely submitting a
9 joint statement may result in sanctions including dismissal for failure to prosecute. Moreover,
10 plaintiff is admonished that the Court has a strong preference for in-person attendance at these
11 conferences and “routine inconveniences of travel do not constitute good cause” to appear
12 telephonically. Standing Order in Civil Cases § 6.

13 This Order terminates Docket Number 16.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15 Dated: March 10, 2016



16
17 **YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS**
18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE**

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28