1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		I
7	LAWRENCE V LONGHI,	Case No. 14-cv-04554-KAW
8	Plaintiff,	
9	V.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
10	KHALED MONAWAR,	Re: Dkt. No. 56
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	On March 8, 2017, Plaintiff Lawrence V. Longhi filed a renewed Motion for	
14	Judgment. (Dkt. No. 48.) On March 13, 2017, the Court issued an order requiring l	
15	by the reply filing deadline, a proposed order that "shall be structured as outlined in	
16	[of the March 13, 2017 order] and include all relevant legal authority and analysis n	
17	establish the case." (Dkt. No. 13 at 1.) Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(c), the repl	
18	deadline was March 29, 2017. As of March 31, 2017, Plaintiff has not filed the requ	
19	order.	
20	Accordingly, Plaintiff's counsel is ordered, by <b>April 7, 2017</b> , to 1) file the re	
21	proposed order, and 2) respond to this order to show cause by explaining why he fai	
22	with the Court's March 13, 2017 order. Failure to complete both tasks by April 7, 2	
23	in the Court denying Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: March 31, 2017	V illian
26	KANDIS A. WESTMORE	
27		United States Magistrate Judge
28		

T NIA -04554-KAW HOW CAUSE ewed Motion for Default order requiring Plaintiff to file, ed as outlined in Attachment A y and analysis necessary to e 7-3(c), the reply filing not filed the required proposed , to 1) file the required ining why he failed to comply sks by April 7, 2017 may result ESTMORE