

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROSADA CASTANEDA,

Plaintiff,

No. C 14-05515 JSW

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Commissioner of
Social Security,

Defendant.

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF
TO INFORM COURT
REGARDING CONSENT TO
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL
PURPOSES**

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. *See* Civil L.R. 73-1(b). The Court has received notice of such consent from Defendant. Accordingly, Plaintiff is hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than February 6, 2015, as to whether she consents to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action. For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section.¹ The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 21, 2015



 JEFFREY S. WHITE
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.

