oop Al Labs, Inc.		v. Gatti et al	Doc. 995
United States District Court Northern District of California	1		
	2		
	3		
	4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	6		
	7	LOOP AI LABS INC,	Case No. <u>15-cv-00798-HSG</u>
	8	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT
	9	V.	
	10	ANNA GATTI, et al.,	
	11	Defendants.	
	12	For the reasons stated in the Court's order imposing terminating sanctions, Dkt. No. 993,	
	13	judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendants. The Court declines to exercise supplemental	
	14	jurisdiction over Defendant Anna Gatti's counterclaim, Dkt. No. 197 at 31-32, because it has	
	15	dismissed the claims over which it had original jurisdiction. ¹	
	16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	17	Dated: 3/21/2017	
	18		IAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
	19	U	Inited States District Judge
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26 27	¹ See 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3); see also Sanford v. Member Works, Inc., 625 F.3d 550, 561 (9th Cir. 2010) ("[I]n the usual case in which all federal-law claims are eliminated before trial, the balance	
	28	of factors to be considered under the pendent juriconvenience, fairness, and comity—will point to	sdiction doctrine—judicial economy, ward declining to exercise jurisdiction over the
		remaining state-law claims." (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).	