28

1						
2						
3						
4						
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
7						
8 9	EROTIC SERVICE PROVIDER LEGAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH PROJECT, ET AL.,					
10	Plaintiffs,					
11	ORDER OF DISCLOSURE v.					
12	GEORGE GASCON, ET AL.,					
13	Defendants.					
14						
15	·					
16	The Court HEREBY ADVISES the parties that the undersigned's son is a Deputy City					
17	Attorney with the San Francisco City Attorney's Office, which represents Defendant in this case.					
18	Although the undersigned and his son have not had any contact or communications about this					
19	matter, out of an abundance of caution, the Court issues this Order in the event any party believes					
20	the undersigned should recuse himself from this matter. The Court also advises the parties that, in					
21	connection with a previous case, the Court sought a formal opinion from the Committee on Codes of					
22	Conduct of the Judicial Conference of the United States, regarding a request for recusal. The					
23	Committee issued a thorough opinion outlining the circumstances in which recusal might be					
24	warranted, and it found that recusal was not required in that case. The Court will make a copy of					
25	that letter available to any party upon request.					
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
27	Dated: July 7, 2015 JEFFRE S WITE					
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					