1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.,	Case No.15-cv-01414-HSG
8	Plaintiff,	ODDED DIDECTING CUDDI EMENTAL
9	v.	ORDER DIRECTING SUPPLEMENTAL FILING REGARDING PARTIES'
10	VMWARE, INC.,	REQUESTS WITH RESPECT TO COSTS
11	Defendant.	Re: Dkt. No. 497
12		
13	Pending before the Court is Defendant VMware, Inc.'s motion for review of the Clerk's	
14	taxation of costs. See Dkt. No. 497.	
15	Defendant sought \$900,951.22 in its Second Amended Bill of Costs, filed September 20,	
16	2017. Dkt. No. 483. On September 22, 2018, Plaintiff Phoenix Technologies, Ltd. objected to	
17	\$708,076.10 of the costs sought by Defendant. Dkt. No. 486. The Clerk subsequently taxed costs	
18	in the amount of \$187,626.44, disallowing \$713,324.78 of Defendant's request. Dkt. No. 496.	
19	With its pending motion, Defendant now purports to seek \$900,853.72, see Dkt. No. 497 at 2,	
20	although it later reduced the amount of its request by at least \$4,883.56, see Dkt. No. 500 at 12.	
21	Plaintiff, for its part, now objects to at least \$785,139.16. See Dkt. No. 499 at 1. Moreover, the	
22	parties are not always entirely clear regarding the final outcome they seek.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

United States District Court Northern District of California

To assist the Court in deciding Defendant's pending motion, the Court **DIRECTS** the parties to meet and confer and complete the chart attached hereto as Exhibit A. The parties shall jointly submit the completed chart by May 25, 2018. Further, unless the Court directs the parties otherwise, the matter will be deemed submitted upon the parties' submission of the chart and the hearing set for May 23, 2018 is **VACATED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 22, 2018

HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge