1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6	TERRY L. WEISS,	CASE NO. 15-cv-01639-YGR
7	Plaintiff,	
8	vs.	ORDER RE: OUTSTANDING MOTIONS
9 10	CITY OF SANTA ROSA POLICE DEPARTMENT, ET AL.,	
10	Defendants.	
11	Pursuant to its prior Order re: Briefing on Outstanding Motions, the Court is in receipt of:	
12	(i) the City Defendants' "Reply Brief Pursuant to Order re: Briefing on Outstanding Motions	
14	[FRCP 56]" (Dkt. No. 168); and (ii) "Plaintiff's Objection to the City Motion for Partial or	
15	Summary Judgment and Questions" (Dkt. Nos. 172, 172-1). ¹ In light of these filings, the Court	
16	deems briefing on the City Defendants' pending motions, (Dkt. Nos. 137, 145, 148), to be	
17	completed.	
18	Accordingly, no further filings by either party will be permitted until the Court rules on the	
19	pending motions. Failure to comply with this Order will result in the striking of the filing.	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		$1 \qquad \qquad$
22	Dated: September 27, 2018	Jonene Systefficez
23		YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
24		
25		
26		
27	¹ Even though the Court's prior order provided that the "City Defendants shall be allowed to file a single reply to plaintiff's response, including the aforementioned update, within 14 days <i>after her update is filed</i> ," the City Defendants filed their reply before plaintiff's updated response was filed. (Dkt. No. 164 (emphasis supplied).)	
28		

Dockets.Justia.com