Heldt v. Tata C	nsultancy Services, Ltd		Doc. 359
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	OAKLAND DIVISION		
10		Case No. 4:15-cv-01696-YGR (SK)	
11	BRIAN BUCHANAN, ET AL.,	CLASS ACTION	
12	Plaintiffs, v.	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR	
13	TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES, LTD.,	AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL	
14	Defendant.	ARBITRATION	
15	Detendant.	RE: Dkt. No.: 349	
16			
17	Having considered Plaintiffs' Motion for a one-week Extension of Time to file responses in		
18	opposition to Defendant Tata Consultancy Services, Ltd.'s Motions to Compel Arbitration (Dkt.		)kt.
19	#331), the Court finds that good cause exists to grant the requested relief. Accordingly, Plaintiff's		ff's
20	motion is hereby <b>GRANTED</b> , and the deadline for Plaintiffs to file their response to Defendant's		nt's
21	Motion to Compel Arbitration is extended from June 12, 2018 to June 19, 2018. Additionally, the		
22	deadline for Defendant to file its reply in support of its Motion is extended from June 16, 2018 to		
23	July 3, 2018.		
24	This Order terminates Docket Number 349.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	DATED: June o 2019	Lucy Gual Mice	
27	DATED: June <u>8</u> , 2018	YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS	
28		United States District Court Judgi	호
	ORDER GRANTING PLS.' MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF T	1 IME No. 4:15-cv-01696-YGR	(SK)
		Dockets.	Justia.com