

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHEILA BLACKMAN-BAHAM,
Plaintiff,
v.
SALLY JEWELL,
Defendant.

Case No. [15-cv-01922-JSW](#)

**ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE FOR
RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR
PERMISSION TO FILE LATE
OPPOSITION**

Re: Dkt. No. 33


The Court has received Plaintiff's motion for permission to file a late opposition to the motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 33), accompanied by the proposed late opposition (Dkt. No. 34). The Court STRIKES the schedule for response to the motion for permission that was automatically generated by the Court's electronic filing system and entered as part of docket entry 33, and SETS the following schedule.

The opposition to the motion for permission to file a late opposition is due on August 11, 2016. No reply in support of the motion for permission shall be filed. *See generally* N.D. Cal. Civil. L.R. 7-11.

In its order ruling on the motion for permission to file a late opposition, the Court shall set a further schedule, as appropriate at that time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 8, 2016


JEFFREY S. WHITE
United States District Judge