United States District Court Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	EDWARD M. ANAYA,	Case No. <u>15-cv-02012-DMR</u>
8	Plaintiff,	
9	V.	ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
10	JANE C. PANDELL, et al.,	Re: Dkt. No. 9
11	Defendants.	
12	The court has reviewed Defendant Jane Curran Pandell's motion for an extension of time	
13	to respond to Plaintiff Edward M. Anaya's complaint and request to shorten time on the motion.	
14	[Docket No. 9.] The court will treat Defendant's motion as a motion for administrative relief	
15	pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11. Any opposition by Plaintiff is due by no later than 5:00	
16	p.m. on June 5, 2015. Defendant's request to shorten time on the motion is denied as moot. The	
17	court will rule on the motion on June 8, 2015, in conformance with the local rules.	
18		TATES DISTRICT C
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	STALL
20	Dated: June 5, 2015	E PDFRED
21	Dated: June 5, 2015	
22		
23		
24		
25	THERN DISTRICT OF CT	
26		101 MIO
27		
28		
		Dockets.Justia.com